

# Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> Levels of Skim Milk Powders Produced in Turkey

ORGUN DEVECİ\* AND EMEL SEZGİN

Dairy Technology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ankara University, Diskapi, Ankara, Turkey

(Received: November 2, 2004; Accepted: January 12, 2005)

## ABSTRACT

In this study AFM<sub>1</sub> levels of skim milk powders produced in Turkey were determined by immunoaffinity column and HPLC and the results were compared with the values accepted by Turkish Aliment Codex. AFM<sub>1</sub> levels of 21 skim milk powder samples collected from seven firms in four different seasons (March-April-May, June-July-August, September-October-November and December-January-February) ranged between 0 to 0.705 µg/kg. Two samples (0.535 and 0.705 µg/kg) had exceeded the tolerance limit accepted by Turkish Aliment Codex (0.5 µg/kg). It was also recorded that these two samples collected in the second season (June-July-August) had no M<sub>1</sub> content. From the point of seasonal variation, it was realized that AFM<sub>1</sub> contents of the samples collected in summer were lower than that of the samples collected in the winter. Seasonal variations with regard to AFM<sub>1</sub> were statistically significant ( $p < 0.01$ ). As a result, AFM<sub>1</sub> levels in 90.5% of the samples provided throughout the year did not exceed the maximum tolerance limit established by Turkish Aliment Codex.

Key words: Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub>, skim milk powder, contamination

## INTRODUCTION

Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> (AFM<sub>1</sub>) is a hydroxylated metabolite of the potent carcinogen aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>), which is produced by strains of molds namely *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus* during their growth on feeds, foods and various biological materials<sup>(1,2,3)</sup>. Secretion of AFM<sub>1</sub> into milk as a percentage of AFB<sub>1</sub> consumed varies from 0.35% to 3%<sup>(4,5)</sup>.

Although, AFM<sub>1</sub> is less mutagenic and carcinogenic than AFB<sub>1</sub>, it exhibits high genotoxic activity and has been recently evaluated by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as a class 2B, possibly carcinogenic to humans<sup>(6,7)</sup>. Therefore, clearly there is a concern about potential health effects of AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk. To reduce the risk of exposure, many countries have established maximum levels of permissible AFM<sub>1</sub> in fluid milk and other milk products varying from 0.05 to 1.0 µg/L<sup>(8)</sup>.

Milk has the greatest demonstrated potential for introducing aflatoxin residues from edible animal tissues into the human diet<sup>(7,9)</sup>. Moreover, as milk is the main dietary component for children who are more sensitive than adults, the presence of AFM<sub>1</sub> in human breast milk, in commercially available milk and milk products is one of the most serious problems of food safety.

The objective of the present study was to investigate the contamination level of Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in spray dried skim milk powders produced in Turkey and to report the compliance of the results with the Turkish Aliment Codex.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### I. Samples

Twenty one samples of spray dried skim milk powder obtained from seven milk powder producers in Turkey were analyzed to determine their AFM<sub>1</sub> levels. All samples were collected during the period of March 2002 to February 2003 for four different seasons (March-April-May, June-July-August, September-October-November, December-January-February). Samples were analyzed in duplicate.

### (I) Methods

AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk powder was extracted using the immunoaffinity column method, as reported in ISO 14501<sup>(10)</sup>. Briefly, 10 g of skim milk powder was reconstituted in 50 mL of water. Prepared reconstituted milk was quantitatively transferred to a 100 mL volumetric flask and was diluted to the 100 mL mark with water. After reconstituted milk was warmed to 37°C and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min, the upper thin fat layer was discarded. The residue was filtered through Whatman No. 4 filter paper. The filtrate (at least 50 mL) was then passed through an immunoaffinity column (Vicam AFLA M<sub>1</sub>) containing specific monoclonal antibodies bound to a solid support material. As the sample passed through the column, the antibodies selectively bound with present AFM<sub>1</sub> and formed an antibody-antigen complex. The toxin was slowly eluted from the column using 3 mL of acetonitrile and the final extract was evaporated to 300 µL under the nitrogen steam and finally re-dissolved in the HPLC mobile phase to 3 mL again. The volume of injection into HPLC was 100 µL.

\* Author for correspondence. Tel: +90-312-3170550 ext. 1739;  
Fax: +90-312-3182219; E-mail: deveci@agri.ankara.edu.tr

Determination of AFM<sub>1</sub> was carried out by a high performance liquid chromatographic system (HPLC) (Hewlett Packard, 1100) equipped with a C18 Hicrom column, 5  $\mu$ m, 250  $\times$  4.6 mm. The mobile phase that consisted of acetonitrile-water (25:75, v/v) was delivered to the column at a rate of 1 mL/min. Under these conditions AFM<sub>1</sub> was eluted from the column at about 10.5 min (Figure 1). Fluorescence detector was used (Hewlett Packard, G-1321A) with excitation wavelength of 360 nm and emission wavelength of 430 nm.


Pure AFM<sub>1</sub> standard in the form of crystal (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in chloroform to prepare a stock solution. Using this stock solution a series of calibration solutions were prepared in the concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 7.5 AFM<sub>1</sub> ng/mL, respectively. Calibration curves were constructed by plotting the peak area for each calibration solution against the mass of AFM<sub>1</sub> injected (Figure 2). The detection limit was 0.01  $\mu$ g/kg. To determine the recovery of AFM<sub>1</sub>, pure M<sub>1</sub> stock solution was added to three blank skim milk powder samples (BCR-Information Reference Materials, England) at the rate of 0.5  $\mu$ g/kg. Samples were prepared for analysis as mentioned

above. Totally six injections to HPLC were carried out (two injections for each three spiked samples). Their AFM<sub>1</sub> contents were calculated and recovery of AFM<sub>1</sub> was found 99.24%. Analytical results were not corrected for recovery.


Statistical analysis was carried out to determine differences of AFM<sub>1</sub> contents among milk powder samples collected in different seasons. All data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), whereas differences between means were tested for significance by Duncan's multiple range test in the general linear model of SPSS statistical programme (SPSS ver10.0, SPSS Ltd., Working, UK). Differences between means were considered significant at  $p < 0.01$ .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The incidence of AFM<sub>1</sub> contamination in skim milk powders were quite high, given that 90.5% of samples were positive (Table 1). In other words, only two samples collected in the second season had no AFM<sub>1</sub> content. On the other hand, AFM<sub>1</sub> content of two samples provided in the first season (March-April-May) from firm 4 and in the



**Figure 1.** Chromatograms of AFM<sub>1</sub>. A: 0.5 ng/mL AFM<sub>1</sub> standard solution, B: representative milk powder sample containing 0.303  $\mu$ g/kg AFM<sub>1</sub>.




**Figure 2.** Calibration curve of AFM<sub>1</sub>.

**Table 1.** AFM<sub>1</sub> contents of skim milk powders collected throughout one year period ( $\mu\text{g/kg}$ )

Firm	Season			
	First season (Mar - Apr - May)	Second season (Jun - Jul - Aug)	Third season (Sept - Oct - Nov)	Fourth season (Dec - Jan - Feb)
1	0.303 $\pm$ 0.000	BD*	0.705 $\pm$ 0.001	0.356 $\pm$ 0.009
2	0.202 $\pm$ 0.010	BD	NP**	0.372 $\pm$ 0.017
3	0.392 $\pm$ 0.005	0.309 $\pm$ 0.000	0.298 $\pm$ 0.005	0.353 $\pm$ 0.012
4	0.535 $\pm$ 0.000	NP	NP	NP
5	0.483 $\pm$ 0.015	0.324 $\pm$ 0.001	0.262 $\pm$ 0.016	NP
6	0.193 $\pm$ 0.009	0.231 $\pm$ 0.036	0.494 $\pm$ 0.018	NP
7	0.238 $\pm$ 0.002	0.273 $\pm$ 0.014	NP	0.350 $\pm$ 0.080
Minimum	0.193	0.000	0.262	0.350
Maximum	0.535	0.324	0.705	0.372
Average*	0.335 <sup>a</sup>	0.190 <sup>b</sup>	0.440 <sup>c</sup>	0.358 <sup>d</sup>
Std. Dev.	$\pm$ 0.138	$\pm$ 0.150	$\pm$ 0.204	$\pm$ 0.010

\*BD: Below detection limit.

\*\*NP: No production of skim milk powder.

\*\*\*Differences between averages of sample with different symbols in same row was found to be significant ( $p < 0.01$ ).**Figure 3.** AFM<sub>1</sub> values of skim milk powders against firms.

1: First season (March-April-May).


2: Second season (June-July-August).

3: Third season (September-October-November).

4: Fourth season (December-January-February).

third season (September-October-November) from firm 1 were over the legal limit (0.5  $\mu\text{g/kg}$ ) according to Turkish Aliment Codex with the level of 0.535 and 0.705  $\mu\text{g/kg}$ , respectively. It was also shown that AFM<sub>1</sub> contents of two samples provided in first season from firm 5 and in third season from firm 6 were found very close to legal limit with the levels of 0.483 and 0.494  $\mu\text{g/kg}$ , respectively (Table 1). AFM<sub>1</sub> levels of skim milk powder samples generally ranged between 0.2 - 0.4  $\mu\text{g/kg}$  (Figure 3).

The season also had an effect on levels of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in the samples. Average AFM<sub>1</sub> levels of skim milk powders collected in the summer were found to be low (0.190  $\mu\text{g/kg}$ ) while the highest level of AFM<sub>1</sub> was 0.440  $\mu\text{g/kg}$  collected in the fall. AFM<sub>1</sub> contents of milk powder samples provided by firm 1 and 2 were found 0.303 and 0.202  $\mu\text{g/kg}$  respectively in the spring whereas AFM<sub>1</sub> was not detected in the samples of the same two firms in the summer (Table 1 and Figure 3). In addition, although the sample provided by firm 1 in the summer had no AFM<sub>1</sub> content, the sample from the same firm in autumn had

**Figure 4.** Distribution of AFM<sub>1</sub> levels of skim milk powder samples according to seasons.

1: First season (March-April-May).

2: Second season (June-July-August).

3: Third season (September-October-November).

4: Fourth season (December-January-February).

0.705  $\mu\text{g/kg}$  AFM<sub>1</sub> level (Table 1). Seasonal variations observed in the AFM<sub>1</sub> contents were significant ( $p < 0.01$ ).

The low incidence of AFM<sub>1</sub> in the summer months is likely to be due to the increased use of pasture feeding. These results are in agreement with those of Veringa *et al.*<sup>(11)</sup>, Fritz *et al.*<sup>(12)</sup> and Galvano *et al.*<sup>(13)</sup>. They also found that dried milk produced in the grazing season contained much less AFM<sub>1</sub> than that produced in the indoor feeding winter period.

In conclusion, the results of the study showed that AFM<sub>1</sub> contents of 90.5% of skim milk powder collected throughout the year did not exceed the tolerance limit suggested by Turkish Aliment Codex (0.5  $\mu\text{g/kg}$ ). However, milk powder has many common uses, such as to increase total dry matter, to enrich protein content and to produce infant formula. Therefore, attention should be given to routine inspection of these products in Turkey.

## REFERENCES

1. Applebaum, R. S., Brackett, R. E., Wiseman, D. V. and Marth, E. H. 1982. Aflatoxin: toxicity in dairy cattle and occurrence in milk and dairy products - a review. *J. Food Pro.* 45: 752-777.
2. Rustom, I. Y. S. 1997. Aflatoxin in food and feed: occurrence, legislation and inactivation by physical methods. *Food chem.* 59: 57-67.
3. Cetin, T., Deveci, O. and Sezgin, E. 2003. Süt ve süt ürünlerinde aflatoksin M<sub>1</sub>. *Gıda Teknolojisi* 7: 42-48.
4. Choudhary, P. L., Sharma, R. S. and Borkartria, V. N. 1998. Effect of chilling and heating on aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> content of contaminated Indian cow's milk. *Egyptian J. Dairy Sci.* 26: 223-229.
5. Chopra, R. C., Chhabra, A., Prasad, K. S. D., Dudhe, A., Murthy, T. N. and Prasad, T. 1999. Carryover of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in milk of cows fed aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> contaminated ration. *Indian J. Anim. Nutr.* 16: 103-106.
6. Bullerman, L. B. 1981. Public health significance of molds and mycotoxins in fermented dairy products. *J. Dairy Sci.* 64: 2439-2452.
7. Galvano, F., Galofaro, V. and Galvano, G. 1996. Occurrence and stability of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in milk and milk products: a worldwide review. *J. Food Protect.* 59: 1079-1090.
8. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 1997. Worldwide regulation for mycotoxin. In "Food and Nutrition". p. 64. Rome.
9. Govaris, A., Roussi, V., Koudis, P. A. and Botsoglou, N. A. 2002. Distribution and stability of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> during production and storage of yoghurt. *Food Addit. Contam.* 19: 1043-1050.
10. International Organisation for Standardization (ISO) 1998. Milk and milk powder: determination of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> content - clean up by immunoaffinity chromatography and determination by high performance liquid chromatography. International Standard Organisation (ISO) 14501: 1998(E). Genève.
11. Veringa, H. A., Stadhouders, J. and Harten, M. V. 1975. Aflatoxins and their presence in milk and milk powder. *Zuivelzicht* 68: 180-184.
12. Fritz, W., Donath, R. and Engst, R. 1977. Determination and occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>1</sub> in milk and dairy produce. *Nahrung* 21: 79-84.
13. Galvano, F., Galofaro, V., Galofaro, G., Angelis, A. D., Galvano, M., Bognanano, M. and Galvano, G. 1998. Survey of the occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in dairy products Marketed in Italy. *J. Food Protect.* 61: 738-741.