

# Advanced Analytical Methods For The Analysis of Chemical And Microbiological Properties of Beer

S. SOHRABVANDI<sup>1</sup>, A. M. MORTAZAVIAN<sup>2\*</sup> AND K. REZAEI<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>. Department of Food Technology Research, National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, P. O. Box 19395-4741, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>. Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Nutrition Sciences, Food Science and Technology / National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, P. O. Box 19395-4741, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>3</sup>. Department of Food Science, Engineering and Technology, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, University of Tehran, Postal code 31587 -77871, Karaj, Iran.

(Received: November 21, 2009; Accepted: March 4, 2011)

## ABSTRACT

Beer is a worldwide consumed and universally popular beverage due to its pleasant sensory properties and nutritional/medicinal functions. Chemical (flavor, safety, nutritional and medicinal aspects) and microbiological (brewing yeast and contaminating microorganisms) properties of beer are among its key attributes. In this article, application of advanced instrumental methods for the analysis of chemical and microbiological characteristics of beer is discussed. Advanced instrumental techniques for the quick, reliable, selective and relatively sensitive analysis of food products including beer are widely used for research or quality evaluation purposes.

Key words: analysis, beer, flavor, hygiene, instrumental, microbiological, nutritional

## INTRODUCTION

Beer, a brewed beverage made principally from malt (germinated barley), hop, water and yeast, is one of the most popular drinks worldwide. In 2004, the per capita consumption of beer around the world was 72.9 L (annually) on average, while in some countries this figure was higher than 130 L<sup>(1)</sup>. Popularity of beer arises from its pleasant sensory attributes and favorable nutritional and health (in light-to-moderate consumption) characteristics<sup>(2)</sup>. Investigations have shown that there is a high tendency for beer consumption among different levels of societies due to the above-mentioned criteria<sup>(3-5)</sup>.

Most beers produced worldwide have alcohol content in the range of 3 - 6% (v/v)<sup>(6,7)</sup>. While a low-strength beer contains about 2 - 3% of alcohol, a medium/average-strength beer has about 5% and a high-strength/strong beer has about 6 - 12% of alcohol<sup>(2)</sup>. In recent years, there has been an increased market share for low-alcohol (< 2.5% alcohol content) and non-alcoholic (< 0.5% alcohol content) beers<sup>(2,7-9)</sup>.

Chemical aspects include flavor, chemical hygiene (chemical safety) and nutritional and medicinal attributes. Flavor is by far the most important sensory attribute of beer. Chemical aspects of food materials comprise only the background level of perception. Among chemical aspects, flavor

compounds (for example) are directly perceived as flavor; whilst, for example, chemical substances contributing to the foam formation are not perceptible unless they appear in the foreground state of visual foam. Microbiological aspect is associated to the analysis of type and viable counts of microorganisms including brewer's yeast as well as contaminating (invading) microorganisms.

Due to their efficiency and sensitivity, advanced instrumental methods of analysis are widely used for the assessment of different foods (including beer), for research or for quality evaluation purposes. The aim of this article is to review the most important advanced instrumental methods for the analysis of chemical and microbiological characteristics of beer.

### I. Chemical Analysis

#### (I) Flavor

The typical beer flavor comprises a complex balanced mixture of numerous flavor agents, such as phenolics, proteins, carbohydrates, isohumulones (iso-alpha-acids), alcohols, tannins, lactones, aldehydes, unsaturated carbonyl compounds, vicinal diketones, ionones, methyl esters, fatty acids, essential oils, sulfur-containing volatile compounds, nucleotides, mineral ions and organic acids. More than 800

\* Author for correspondence. Tel: + 98-21-22376426;  
Fax: + 98-21-22360657; E-mail: mortazvn@sbmu.ac.ir

flavoring agents have been found in beer. Although many of these compounds are not key flavor compounds, they introduce a background perception that plays an important role in the overall impression of the flavor of beer<sup>(10-13)</sup>. Table 1 presents several published articles on the flavor analysis of beer. Different methods for the analysis of various flavor compounds are expressed below:

#### 1. Xanthohumol, Isoflavons, Iso- $\alpha$ -acids and Other Phenolic Compounds

Xanthohumol has been quantified in hops by HPLC using UV detection<sup>(14)</sup>. However, this technique offers insufficient sensitivity and selectivity for the quantitative analysis of the minor prenylflavonoids in beer. Tandem mass spectrometry (MS-MS), as a detection technique, can provide improved sensitivity<sup>(15)</sup>. Liquid chromatography (LC) coupled with (tandem) mass spectrometry has been successfully applied to the quantitative analysis of isoflavones in plasma<sup>(16)</sup>, baby food and flour<sup>(17)</sup>. Stevens *et al.*<sup>(15)</sup> developed a method for the quantification of six prenylflavonoids (xanthohumol, isoxanthohumol, desmethylxanthohumol, 6- and 8-prenyl-naringenins and 6-geranylnaringenin) in hops and beer by HPLC-tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS-MS)<sup>(18-21)</sup>.

Bitterness in beer is analyzed by assessing *cis* and *trans* isomers of hops iso- $\alpha$ -acids, such as isocohumulone, isohumulone and isoadhumulone, the major bittering agents of beer. They can be determined by using spectrophotometrical methods (at 275, 325 and 355 nm) after their extraction in toluene and dilution with methanol<sup>(22)</sup>. They can also be determined by direct titration with lead acetate and monitoring the electrical conductivity of the samples<sup>(22)</sup>. The amount of polyphenols has been quantitatively determined by applying non-specific spectrophotometric methods based on their absorption (at 600 nm) after their reaction with ferric ammonium citrate<sup>(23)</sup>. Catechin can be used as standard<sup>(23)</sup>. The polyphenols quercetin, rutin, catechin and epicatechin in beer can be determined by using a HPLC procedure<sup>(24)</sup>. Whittle *et al.*<sup>(25)</sup> analysed the polyphenols (20 procyanidin dimers and/or trimers) using a HPLC system equipped with an electrochemical detector. Light absorption (at 275 nm) of an *iso*-octane extract of acidified beer can be used as a routine method for the analysis of principal bittering agents in beer (isocohumulone, *iso*-humulone and isoadhumulone)<sup>(23)</sup>.

Isoflavonoids of beer have been determined using gas chromatography (GC) as well as combined GC with mass spectrometry (GC-MS)<sup>(26)</sup>. Lapcik *et al.*<sup>(26)</sup> developed radio-immunoassays specific for daidzein and its 4'-derivatives (formononetin, 4'-sulfate and 4'-glucuronide of daidzein) and for genistein and its 4'-derivatives (biochanin A, 4'-sulfate and 4'-glucuronide of genistein) found regularly in beers. Compared to HPLC and GC procedures, the above method is less time-consuming and more convenient. Nardini *et al.*<sup>(27)</sup> determined free and bound phenolic compounds (especially phenolic acids) in beer by using a HPLC procedure after the addition of strong antioxidants and sequesterants (in order to protect phenolics from oxidation). They also used some releasing agents to extract phenolics from their bound state.

Individual phenolic compounds have been analyzed by using thin layer chromatography (TLC)<sup>(28)</sup>. These compounds have also been analyzed by electrophoresis<sup>(29)</sup>. Cummings *et al.*<sup>(30)</sup> analyzed phenolic compounds in beer using amperometric screen-printed carbon electrodes. They reported that chromatographic procedures may require certain tedious sample preparation steps that can compromise sample integrity. According to Cummings *et al.*<sup>(30)</sup>, phenolic biosensors are suggested as an alternative method of analysis that do not have the problems associated with the traditional analytical methods.

Biosensors made of carbon paste and plat tissue have been utilized in the analysis of complex flavanols in beer samples<sup>(31,32)</sup>. However, due to the poor mechanical stability of carbon paste and low sensitivity, these biosensors are not suitable for the brewing industry<sup>(33)</sup>. Cummings *et al.*<sup>(30)</sup> employed bio-electrodes made of three commercially available graphite-based printed electrodes. The enzyme tyrosinase was immobilized on the electrode using a straightforward polymerization step applicable for mass production purposes. Vanhoenacker *et al.*<sup>(34)</sup> analyzed *iso*- $\alpha$ -acids and reduced *iso*- $\alpha$ -acids in beer by direct injection into a liquid chromatography system equipped with a ultraviolet (UV) absorption or mass spectrometry detector. Such method was also reported for the determination of phenolic compounds of beer matrices<sup>(35-37)</sup>. However, a filtration step was necessary to avoid interference of fermentable sugars, dextrans and organic acids. De Pascual-Teresa *et al.*<sup>(38)</sup> proposed a HPLC separation system coupled to a diode-array spectrophotometric detector after a chemical reaction with *p*-dimethyl-aminocinnamaldehyde (DMACA). Recovery of phenolic compounds in beer can be performed using liquid-liquid extraction systems with organic solvents<sup>(25,39)</sup>.

Solid phase extraction (SPE) is a common technique used for pre-concentration and purification prior to HPLC separation of phenolic compounds in wines<sup>(40-42)</sup>. Separation of phenolic compounds in beer has been performed commonly by reversed-phase liquid chromatography followed by ultraviolet<sup>(33,43)</sup>, photodiode array<sup>(44)</sup>, fluorimetric<sup>(39)</sup>, electrochemical<sup>(33,43,45)</sup> or mass spectrometric detection<sup>(25)</sup>. Garcia *et al.*<sup>(46)</sup> presented a method based on SPE application followed by HPLC-UV analysis for quality control in the brewing industry for the determination of phenolic acids. The method was applied to the quantitative analysis of these compounds in alcohol-free beers. Montanari *et al.*<sup>(44)</sup> determined organic and phenolic acids in beer by using two different HPLC methodologies: HPLC-ECD (amperometric electrochemical detection) and HPLC-DAD (photodiode array detection). They found that the most common phenolic acid was *m*-coumaric acid, followed by ferulic, *o*-coumaric, *p*-coumaric and 3-OH-benzoic acids. Vanillic, chlorogenic, homovanillic, *p*-hydroxybenzoic, 2,6-dihydroxybenzoic, syringic, gallic, protocatechuic, caffeic and 3,5-dihydroxybenzoic acids were present in small quantities.

Vanbeneden *et al.*<sup>(47)</sup> quantified hydroxycinnamic acids and their corresponding aroma-active volatile phenols (simultaneously) in wort and beer by using a simple and rapid

**Table 1.** Several published articles on flavor analysis of beer.

Parameter	Method of analysis	Source
Bitterness	Assessing <i>cis</i> - and <i>trans</i> isomers of hops <i>iso</i> -alpha-acids using spectrometry (275, 325, 355 nm)	22
Amounts of bitter acids	HPLC with DAD or MS detection	55, 94, 95
	Electrophoresis	29
Amounts of different polyphenols	Non-specific spectrophotometry based on the color formed at 600 nm with ferric ammonium citrate	23
	HPLC methodology	24 - 26, 33, 36, 43 - 45, 48
	GC and combined GC-MS	50 - 54
	TLC	28
	Capillary zone electrophoresis (CAE)	29, 57, 58
	Radioimmunoassay	26
	Amperometric screen-printed carbon electrodes	30
	Phenolic biosensors/bioelectrodes	30 - 32, 43
	Liquid chromatography by direct injection with ultraviolet absorbance detection or mass spectrometry	25, 34 - 37, 43
	HPLC separation or liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) and on-line detection by diode-array spectroscopy after chemical reaction with DMACA	38, 3925
	Separation of phenolics by photodiode array, fluorimetry or electrochemical procedure	39, 43 - 45, 48
	HPLC coupled with NMR spectroscopy using both on-line and stopped-flow techniques	55
	Spectrophotometric and fluorometric methodologies	39, 59, 60
	Simple and rapid isocratic HPLC using amperometric electrochemical detection	47
	Solid-phase extraction followed by liquid chromatographic separation with ultraviolet detection	45
On-line monitoring of flavor profile during fermentation	Flow-injection analysis (FIA)	23
	HPLC	23
	Infrared spectroscopy	23
	GC-MS	23
Determination of volatile organic compounds (VOCs)	Membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS)	61, 62
	Purge-and-trap GC-MS or static/dynamic headspace GC	63, 64, 73, 79
	Liquid-liquid extraction, simultaneous extraction and distillation, solid-phase extraction or supercritical fluid extraction (SFE)	69 - 72
	Headspace solid-phase microextraction with GC-MS analysis	78
Determination of stale flavor carbonyl compounds	Electronic tongue	79, 80, 111
	Liquid-liquid extraction	81, 82
	Low pressure or steam distillation	84
	Low-pressure distillation followed by purge and trap with Tenax TA	85 - 87
	Solid-phase microextraction (SPME)	
	Stir-bar sorptive extraction (SBSE) combined with GC-MS	88
	HPLC with UV detection	82
	Reversed-phase liquid chromatography using UV detection	70
	Spectrophotometry	90
	GC-MS	91
Carboxylic acids analysis	HPLC with DAD or MS detection	92
Sulphur compounds analysis	HPLC with DAD or MS detection	93

Table 1. continued

Parameter	Method of analysis	Source
Low-molecular weight organic acids (including carboxylic acids) analysis	Co-electroosmotic capillary zone	96
	Electrophoresis	97
Determination of volatile profile compounds	Headspace extraction followed by GC-MS	23
Volatile sulphur compounds	GC with flame photometric detector or Sievers' chemiluminescent detector	23
	Headspace single-drop microextraction (HS-SDME), direct single-drop microextraction (D-SDME) or headspace solid-phase microextraction followed by GC with detection flame photometric	99
	Dynamic headspace sampling followed by capillary GC coupled to a flame photometric detector or sulphur chemiluminescent detector	100, 101
Determination of volatile and semi-volatile sulphur compounds	Headspace solid-phase microextraction and GC with pulsed flame photometric detection	93
Quantification of sulphur dioxide	Measurement of NADH (after enzymatic oxidation of sulphur dioxide to sulfate and hydrogen peroxide) by spectrophotometry (340 nm)	23, 102
Assessment of vicinal diketones	GLC with an electron capture detector	23
	GC with both packed and capillary columns	23, 104
	GC-headspace with electron capture detection	23
	Colorimetric analysis	23
Measurement of non-volatile flavor compounds	Automated Dumas combustion method (isolation of N <sub>2</sub> from other combusted products and its measurement in a thermal conductivity cell)	104 - 110
Inorganic salts and nucleotides (nucleic acids) analysis	HPLC methodology	23
Amount of dissolved oxygen in headspace (which affects flavor stability via oxidation)	Oxygen electrodes	23
Measurement of radical forms of oxygen	Electron spin resonance technology (ESR)	22
	Chemiluminescence measurement	22

isocratic HPLC procedure with amperometric electrochemical detection system. The technique gave good specificity and sensitivity and could therefore be used for routine monitoring of the above compounds in beer. Prior to the study, simultaneous determination of hydroxycinnamic acids and volatile phenols was not easily possible. These compounds were separately determined by using HPLC<sup>(33,36,44,45,48)</sup> or GC<sup>(49-54)</sup> analysis. Pusecker *et al.*<sup>(55)</sup> used a HPLC system coupled to nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (HPLC-NMR) to determine bitter acids in hop and beer. NMR spectroscopic measurements afforded full structural information on hop bitter acids constituents of various hop products. In addition, as an alternative/complementary technique to the HPLC system for the food analysis, capillary zone electrophoresis (CZE) has gained some attention. The main advantages of CZE are high separation efficiency, improved selectivity, low operational costs and speed of analysis<sup>(56)</sup>. A principle known as co-electroosmosis capillary electrophoresis has been used successfully for the analysis of phenolic

compounds<sup>(57,58)</sup>. Total amounts of polyphenols in beer and wine were analyzed by using spectrophotometric and fluorimetric procedures<sup>(39,59,60)</sup>.

## 2. Volatile Organic Compounds

Membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS) is a specific and sensitive method for the analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in a water or gas sample using a thin membrane, which is installed between the sample and the ion source of a mass spectrometer<sup>(61)</sup>. Organic compounds dissolve in a membrane, permeate through it and finally evaporate into the mass spectrometer<sup>(62)</sup>. The function of MIMS is similar to that of the purge-and-trap gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (P&T-GC-MS) and also to that of static headspace gas chromatography (HSGC) in the determination of VOCs in aqueous samples<sup>(63-65)</sup>. Compared with P&T-GC-MS and HSGC methods, methods based on MIMS have lower detection limits, shorter analysis times and also higher capability for continuous on-line monitoring<sup>(66-68)</sup>.

MIMS has been utilized in the on-line monitoring of beer fermentation processes and also for the continuous analysis of aroma compounds (using automatic MIMS)<sup>(62)</sup>. Several other methods including liquid-liquid extraction<sup>(69)</sup>, simultaneous extraction and distillation<sup>(70)</sup>, SPE<sup>(71)</sup> and supercritical fluid extraction<sup>(72)</sup> have also been employed for the analysis of volatile compounds in beer. Most of the methods result in extracts with flavor compounds highly representative of the liquid matrix and not of the headspace. The most widely used headspace sampling techniques for volatile compounds include static headspace analysis, dynamic headspace analysis and the purge and trap technique<sup>(73)</sup>. Headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) is a simple, fast, sensitive and solvent-free extraction technique that, at the same time, results in the concentration of the extracted materials<sup>(74-77)</sup>. Pinho *et al.*<sup>(78)</sup> reported a simple and sensitive method for the analysis of beer volatile compounds using headspace SPME with GC-MS analysis.

There are various extraction or enrichment techniques such as liquid-liquid extraction<sup>(79,80)</sup>, low-pressure or steam distillation<sup>(81-83)</sup>, low pressure distillation followed by purge and trap (P&T) with Tenax TA<sup>(84)</sup> and solid-phase extraction<sup>(85,86)</sup> that are practiced for the analysis of stale flavor carbonyl compounds in beer. SPME was applied for the analysis of underivatized E-2-nonenal and E,E-2,4-decadienal (stale-representing agents) in beer<sup>(87,88)</sup>. In 1999, a new extraction technique known as stir-bar sorptive extraction (SBSE) using stir bars coated with 50 - 300  $\mu$ L of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) was developed by Baltussen *et al.*<sup>(89)</sup>. Ochiai *et al.*<sup>(88)</sup> applied SBSE with in-situ derivatization combined with GC-MS to determine sub-ng/mL levels of stale flavor carbonyl compounds including E-2-octenal, E-2-nonenal, E,Z-2,6-nonadienal and E,E-2,4-decadienal in beer. After extraction, the analytes were thermally desorbed in a thermal desorption system (used to function as an auto-sampler) followed by GC-MS analysis. Santos *et al.*<sup>(82,83)</sup> proposed a routine method for the determination of E-2-nonenal in beer by HPLC with UV detection, for the evaluation of beer aging (detection limit of 0.1  $\mu$ g/L).

$\beta$ -damascenone, which is a terpenic ketone, is a main flavor in many alcoholic beverages (including beer). It is also a key odor in a variety of fruits and vegetables<sup>(83)</sup>. Guido *et al.*<sup>(70)</sup> proposed a method for the simultaneous determination of E-2-octenal and  $\beta$ -damascenone in beer by reversed-phase liquid chromatography using UV detection. Their method included a steam distillation step followed by extraction/concentration using Sep-Pak Plus C<sub>18</sub> RP cartridges and determination by HPLC using UV detection at 226 nm<sup>(70)</sup>. Onate-Jaen *et al.*<sup>(90)</sup> presented several spectrophotometric methods to differentiate among beers and evaluate their aging (Section 1.3). Evaluation of beer aging can be directly correlated with the adverse changes in beer flavor profile and also with the relevant off flavors<sup>(90)</sup>.

Using GC-MS, Vanderhaegen *et al.*<sup>(91)</sup> analyzed 15 known volatile compounds from aging beers to monitor the development of typical aging flavors produced during beer storage due to the Maillard reaction, formation of linear

aldehydes, ester formation, ester degradation, acetal formation, etherification and degradation of hop bitter compounds. Carboxylic acids<sup>(92)</sup>, sulfur compounds<sup>(93)</sup> and bitter acids<sup>(55,94,95)</sup> in beer can be qualitatively and/or quantitatively determined by HPLC using a DAD or an MS detector. Electrophoresis has also been used for the analysis of bitter acids<sup>(29)</sup>. Co-electroosmotic capillary zone electrophoresis was applied for the analysis of low-molecular-weight organic acids in beer<sup>(96-98)</sup>. Volatile sulfur compounds can be analyzed by GC using either a flame photometric or a Sievers' chemiluminescent detector, both of which are specific for sulfur<sup>(23)</sup>.

Xiao *et al.*<sup>(99)</sup> compared three different extraction methods including headspace single-drop microextraction, direct single-drop microextraction and SPME to analyze volatile sulfur compounds in beer. The determination was carried out by using a GC instrument equipped with a flame photometric detector (FPD). The current method of choice for the determination of sulfur compounds in beer industry includes a dynamic headspace sampling procedure, followed by capillary GC coupled to FPD or sulfur chemiluminescence detection<sup>(100,101)</sup>. Adsorption losses, the introduction of artifacts and signal quenching were some disadvantages attributed to the dynamic headspace coupled to FPD<sup>(101)</sup>. To overcome these issues, Hill and Smith<sup>(93)</sup> developed a simple and sensitive method using HS-SPME and GC with pulsed FPD for the analysis of trace levels of volatile and semi-volatile sulfur compounds in beer. Sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) is commonly analyzed using an enzymatic method, where it is oxidized to sulphate by the enzyme sulphite oxidase. Hydrogen peroxide, which is also produced here, is reduced by the enzyme NADH-peroxidase. Then, NADH is measured by its absorption at 340 nm<sup>(23,102)</sup>. Dimethylsulphide was determined using headspace GC with a capillary column and flame ionization detector (FID)<sup>(103)</sup>. Vicinal diketones were assessed using GC with both packed and capillary columns<sup>(103,104)</sup>, by GC-headspace (without a need for distillation) with electron capture detection<sup>(23)</sup>, or by colorimetric methods<sup>(23)</sup>. Diacetyl (acetoin) was analyzed by Tian *et al.*<sup>(105)</sup> using headspace GC analysis. This method was described as a sensitive quantitative analysis and the results demonstrated that it could be used successfully to analyze the concentration of acetoin in beer.

Kohonen Neural Network maps were used by da Silva *et al.*<sup>(106)</sup> for the exploratory analysis of Brazilian Pilsner beers. The input data consisted of the peak areas of the volatile profile compounds from the samples obtained from headspace SPME coupled to gas chromatography. The chromatographic peaks were identified as originating from compounds such as alcohols, esters, organic acids, phenolic compounds and ketones that are typically found in the headspace of such samples. Analysis of the Kohonen maps showed that the 20 different brands of beers could be grouped into six sets, with three of these sets having only one sample according to the composition of their volatile fractions. The volatile species associated with the similarities and differences among each sample group were tentatively identified

by mass spectrometry and their contributions to the grouping were discussed.

### 3. Miscellaneous Compounds

Non-volatile flavor compounds can be measured according to the automated 'Dumas' combustion method, where the sample is combusted in the presence of oxygen at about 1000°C to give oxides of nitrogen, which are catalytically reduced to free nitrogen. Other products of combustion such as carbon dioxide and water are removed by selective absorption and the remaining nitrogen is measured in a thermal conductivity cell<sup>(107-110)</sup>. Inorganic salts and nucleotides (nucleic acids) can be measured by HPLC<sup>(23)</sup>. The amount of dissolved oxygen in the headspace of beer packages that involves in the off flavor production through oxidative reactions can be determined by using oxygen electrodes<sup>(23)</sup>. Radical forms of oxygen, which are good indicators of flavor instability, can be detected by using electron spin resonance technology and also by chemiluminescence measurements, either directly or after the reaction of beer with the radical scavengers<sup>(22)</sup>.

Rudnitskaya *et al.*<sup>(111)</sup> used an electronic tongue multi-sensor system as an analytical tool for the rapid assessment of taste and flavor of beer. The beer samples were distinguished using both sensory panel and ET data based on PCA. The ET was capable of predicting 20 sensory attributes of beer including bitter, sweet, sour, fruity, caramel, artificial and burnt tastes, as well as the taste intensity and body of beer.

### (II) Safety Aspects

Presence of some detrimental chemical compounds (having chemical or microbial origin) in beer beyond their standard dose should be avoided in order to inhibit the corresponding chemical intoxication diseases, such as allergy-related disorders, certain cancers, neurodegenerative disorders, encephalopathies, some cases of osteomalacia and estrogenic-associated disorders<sup>(112-114)</sup>. Toxic amines, mycotoxins, nitrates, aluminum, formaldehyde and radical forms of oxygen in beer are among the compounds that might occur at levels higher than those expected, if appropriate hygienic precautions are not considered<sup>(111-118)</sup>. Several published articles on the analysis of chemical hygienic aspects (chemical safety) of beer are listed in Table 2. The most important methods to analyze these compounds are discussed below:

#### 1. Mycotoxins, Aluminum, Arsenic and Phthalates

Mycotoxins in beer, such as zearalenone, have been analyzed by thin-layer chromatography (TLC)<sup>(122)</sup>, HPLC<sup>(123,124)</sup>, GC<sup>(125)</sup>, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)<sup>(126)</sup>. Once developed, LC-based methods are less time-consuming to operate and sometimes might not need major sample preparation and/or derivatization steps. In addition, chromatographic techniques can allow the simultaneous analysis of several mycotoxins in a single run<sup>(123-125)</sup>. HPLC has been used for zearalenone analysis in the low µg/kg range with fluorescence detection<sup>(127)</sup>.

Recently, SPE with immunoaffinity materials has become popular in mycotoxin analysis as a selective and time-saving, one-step sample clean-up tool<sup>(127,128)</sup>. However, multi-toxin analysis is not feasible with these columns since they are highly specific for only one target mycotoxin<sup>(129)</sup>. MS is applied as a highly sensitive and selective detector in this regard. This detector comes with many advantages including easy sample preparation, its universal applicability to a wide variety of different analytes and its suitability for multi-analyte detection (if used online with a chromatographic technique such as GC and HPLC). Single-ion monitoring (SIM) and multi-reaction monitoring (MRM) with tandem mass spectrometry (MS-MS) can provide specific and exact determination of compounds over a wide linear range<sup>(129)</sup>. Schothorst and Jekel<sup>(130)</sup> developed a method for the determination of trichothecenes in beer by capillary GC equipped with FID.

Reinsch *et al.*<sup>(131)</sup> described a method for the determination of ochratoxin A in beer. It was based on a combined anion exchange/reversed phase clean-up and liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry. This method was compared with a modified standard method and validated on the basis of spiked beer samples. Due to its good reproducibility, repeatability and robustness, this method is a promising alternative to LC-FD (fluorescence detection) techniques. Also, Medina *et al.*<sup>(132)</sup> reported a method for the determination of mycotoxin in beer using Immunoaffinity column as a clean-up procedure. The limits of detection and quantification of the proposed method were 0.0008 and 0.0025 ng/mL, respectively, while the reference values for them were 0.0025 and 0.0075 ng/mL, respectively, in the AOAC method. In this method, emphasis was put on the clean-up step, assaying zinc acetate as a precipitating agent for dyes and other components of beer. Further clean-up was performed using SPE-silica cartridges after liquid-liquid extraction with ethyl acetate. The advantage of the proposed method was that the use of high-cost immunoaffinity columns was avoided for sample clean-up while the good performance of the reference method was met<sup>(132)</sup>.

Aluminum in beer can be measured using several techniques, such as ion-selective electrode<sup>(23)</sup>, atomic absorption spectroscopy and ion chromatography<sup>(23)</sup>. Bellido-Milla *et al.*<sup>(116)</sup> analyzed various trace metals including aluminum using flame atomic spectrophotometry. Husa'kova' *et al.*<sup>(133)</sup> described a method for the direct and accurate determination of arsenic in beer (> 8.4 µg/L) by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry equipped with several improvement approaches such as deuterium background correction and a palladium modifier, resulting in a 40% increase in sensitivity in peak-height measurements.

Ye *et al.*<sup>(134)</sup> introduced a simple, low-cost, sensitive and selective method for the determination of trace levels of phthalate acid esters (around µg/L) in beer based on a SPME-GC analysis using a novel sol-gel calixarene-containing fiber, resulting in much lower matrix interference from the beer samples and also much lower limit of detection. Phthalate acid esters, which can easily migrate from plastic

**Table 2.** Several published articles on the analysis of chemical hygienic aspects of beer.

Parameter	Method of analysis	Source
Aluminium content	Specific electrodes (conductometry)	23
	Atomic absorption spectroscopy	23
	Ion chromatography	23
	Flame atomic spectrometry	119
Arsenic content	Electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry with deuterium background correction (D2-ET-AAS)	133
Quantification of methylamines/amines	Positive chemical ionization with GC-MS	120
	HPLC by <i>o</i> -phthaldialdehyde (OPA) derivatization	121
Mycotoxins analysis	Thin-layer chromatography (TLC)	122
	HPLC	123, 124, 127
	GC	125
	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)	126
	Single-ion monitoring (SIM) and multi-reaction monitoring (MRM) with tandem instruments (MS-MS)	129
	Capillary GC with flame ionization detection	130
	Combined anion exchange/reversed phase clean-up and liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry	131
	Immunoaffinity column (IAC) as a clean-up procedure	132
	Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) followed by gas chromatography using a novel sol-gel calixarene-contained fiber	134
Formaldehyde	Chromatogram	135 - 138
	Electrometry	139 - 142
	Fluorimetry	143 - 147
	Spectrophotometry	148 - 151
	Flow injection catalytic spectrophotometric methods	154
	Air-deriving flow injection (FI) device with merging zone technique	155
Nitrate analysis	Ion chromatography	23
<i>N</i> -nitrosodimethylamine	SPME	154
Biogenic amine	Sensitive capillary electrophoretic methods	155
	Reversed phase-high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) with diode array detection	156
Measurement of radical forms of oxygen	Electron spin resonance technology (ESR)	22
	Chemiluminescence measurement	22

materials into the environment and even into the foods in contact with them, are the most common plasticizers.

## 2. Formaldehyde, Nitrate, Nitrosamines and Biogenic Amines

Formaldehyde can be analysed using GC or HPLC<sup>(135-138)</sup> as well as electrometric<sup>(139-142)</sup>, fluorimetric<sup>(143-147)</sup>, spectrophotometric<sup>(148-151)</sup> and flow injection catalytic spectrophotometric<sup>(152)</sup> techniques. However, none of these methods is suitable for the routine analysis of formaldehyde in beer. Although chromatographic techniques provide adequate sensitivity, they are slow and cannot be easily adopted for routine analysis. On the other hand, fluorimetric methods are always subjected to interferences from some carbonyl compounds in beer. Yue *et al.*<sup>(153)</sup> proposed a sensitive automated procedure for the rapid determination

of formaldehyde in beer. The method was based on the catalytic action of formaldehyde in the redox reaction between Victoria Blue B and potassium bromate in a phosphoric acid medium. Both the sensitivity and speed of analysis were adequate and small amounts of reagents and sample were needed. An air-deriving flame ionization device with merging zones technique was applied in this procedure and provided excellent precision too.

Nitrate content in beer can be analyzed by ion chromatography<sup>(23)</sup>. Amounts of *N*-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) and *N*-nitrosodiethylamine in beer have been determined using GC-MS with positive-ion chemical ionization<sup>(120)</sup>. Pe'ez *et al.*<sup>(154)</sup> proposed SPME for the extraction of *N*-nitrosodimethylamine, a trace and highly potential active carcinogen, from beer using headspace sampling and GC-MS analysis. Polydimethylsiloxane/divinylbenzene

fibers were used to evaluate the influence of equilibrium time, ionic strength, extraction time and temperature by means of a factorial design. The method was validated based on the linearity, reproducibility, limit of detection and limit of quantification. The method was applied to the quantitative analysis of NDMA combining the standard addition method with an internal standard method.

Using laser induced-fluorescence, Cortacero-Ramírez *et al.*<sup>(155)</sup> developed a sensitive capillary electrophoretic method for the simultaneous determination of 10 biogenic amines normally present in beer samples. Amines in the samples were first derivatized and then filtered and finally separated with an uncoated capillary tubing in the presence of 50 mM of sodium borate and 20% acetone at pH 9.3 in a 30 kV electric field. It was possible to analyze biogenic amines in brewing-process samples and in beer samples in less than 30 min, achieving a detection limit as low as 0.3 µg/L for ethylamine and 11.9 µg/L for 1,6-hexanodiamine. Tang *et al.*<sup>(156)</sup> employed a method involving the pre-column derivatization of the amines with 4-chloro-3,5-dinitrobenzotrifluoride and subsequent analysis by reversed-phase HPLC with diode array detection. Detection limits of biogenic amines were 0.056 - 0.87 µmol/L at a signal-to-noise ratio of 3. The proposed method was applied to the quantitative determination of spermine, phenethylamine, spermidine, histamine, tyramine, tryptamine and putrescine in beer with recoveries of 91.9 - 103.1% and relative standard deviation of 2.86 - 5.63%. Putrescine, histamine and tyramine were detected in all samples. Spermidine was detected in 89% of the beers. Spermine, tryptamine and phenylethylamine were found, respectively, in 78%, 61% and 44% of the beers examined.

### (III) Nutritional Aspects

Beer comprises numerous health benefits (nutritional and medicinal) and epidemiological, experimental and clinical investigations have revealed that light-to-moderate consumption of beer brings a relatively wide spectrum of health benefits to humans. However, its excessive consumption (especially those with higher amounts of alcohol) results in adverse effects. Beer contains large levels of vitamins (especially B complex) and minerals such as selenium<sup>(6)</sup>. The medicinal effects of beer (anti-carcinogenic, cardioprotective, immunomodulation, anti-osteoporosis, anti-stomach ulcer, radioprotective and anti-microbial/anti-viral effects, retardation of dementia and aging, prevention of diabetes, tension reduction (relaxation), ease of bowel movement in the elderly, facilitating renal excretion of aluminum as well as estrogenic properties in women), have been attributed to certain components such as ethanol, phenolics, proteins and peptides, folic acid, dietary fibers, glycine betaine in beer and also to its relatively lower pH<sup>(2,6)</sup>. The main methods to assess the nutritional compounds of beer are mentioned below:

#### 1. Carbohydrates and Proteins

Fermentable carbohydrates (as caloric substances) of beer can be analyzed using HPLC technique<sup>(23)</sup>. Fructose,

fructosans and pentosans have been quantified using colorimetric methods<sup>(23)</sup>. Chromatographic carbohydrate profile can be determined for quality control purposes<sup>(112)</sup>. Electrophoresis<sup>(29)</sup> and two-dimensional *J*-resolved nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy<sup>(157)</sup> have been used for the determination of carbohydrates in beer.

Total protein content is routinely measured by the Kjeldal method. However, some advanced instrumental methods have been used to analyze different types of beer proteins. Evans and Sheehan<sup>(158)</sup> showed that measurement of beer proteins by the "Bradford Coomassie Blue Dye Binding Assay" (a colorimetric method), which only measures proteins with MW > 5,000 amu, correlated well with Rudin head retention values. There have been some efforts to determine the protein profile of beer using simple assessment of ultraviolet absorbance by beer wort. However, other ultraviolet-absorbing materials such as bitter acids of hop interfere with the above absorbance<sup>(22)</sup>. ELISA has been used for measuring the levels of some protein fractions in malt<sup>(159)</sup>. Size exclusion chromatography (gel filtration) is applied for the analysis of polypeptides in beer<sup>(160)</sup>. Bamforth *et al.*<sup>(161)</sup> explored a method for assessing hydrophobic polypeptides in beer by measuring fluorescence based on interaction of the proteins with 1-anilino-8-naphthalenesulfonate. Gorinstein *et al.*<sup>(59)</sup> studied the changes in the protein and amino acid contents of beer using combined fluorimetry, ion-exchange chromatography, gel-electrophoretic separation and Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy.

Khatib *et al.*<sup>(157)</sup> determined the amino acids in beer qualitatively and quantitatively using two-dimensional *J*-resolved nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. HPLC as well as electrophoresis has been reported as a suitable method for the determination of both amino acids and peptides in beers<sup>(29,162)</sup>. Kutlan and Molnar-Perl<sup>(121)</sup> proposed a new HPLC method for the simultaneous quantification of amino acids and amines by *o*-phthalaldehyde derivatization of these compounds. Thorsten and Bruckner<sup>(163)</sup> quantified enantiomeric amino acids (*L*-amino acids and *D*-amino acids) by using GC complemented by an HPLC analysis.

#### 2. Ethanol

Alcohol (ethanol) content can be determined using several analytical methods including the catalytic combustion using a "Servochem Automatic Beer Analyzer" (SCABA). The injected beer is divided into two streams. One stream enters a Paar U-tube densitometer and the other one passes down a column as a falling film where the alcohol is removed as a vapor with a counter current air flow and passed over an alcohol sensor. After calibration with known standards, the onboard computer will display the percentage of alcohol<sup>(164)</sup>. Another method is based on calculating the refractive index of the media<sup>(23)</sup>. GC-FID and direct injection onto a suitable column are both considered as precise methods for alcohol analysis<sup>(165)</sup>. Two-dimensional *J*-resolved nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy has also been used for alcohol analysis in beer<sup>(157)</sup>. Alcohol content has been also analyzed using infrared<sup>(166)</sup> or near-infrared (NIR)<sup>(167,168)</sup>

spectroscopy or by combination of these two methods<sup>(169)</sup>. Liario *et al.*<sup>(168)</sup> determined different quality parameters (including alcohol content) in beer using attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. For alcohol-free beers with alcohol content of less than 0.008%, an enzymatic method is proposed based on the "Boehringer test kit"<sup>(23)</sup>. The alcohol is oxidized first to ethanal and then to ethanoic acid with nicotamide adenine dinucleotide ( $\text{NAD}^+$ ) and the reduction of the cofactor is measured spectrophotometrically at 340 nm<sup>(23)</sup>.

Among other devices, biosensors have also been used for the analysis of ethanol. Biosensors are easy to operate. They have short response times, better sensitivity and higher selectivity levels<sup>(170)</sup>. Some biosensors based on alcohol dehydrogenase<sup>(171,172)</sup>, alcohol oxidase<sup>(173,174)</sup>, alcohol oxidase-peroxidase coupled to enzyme system<sup>(175,176)</sup>, microbial<sup>(177,178)</sup> and plant tissue material<sup>(179)</sup> have been developed for ethanol determination. Immobilized catalase enzyme biosensor was able to determine ethanol concentration as low as 18 mM within a response time of 30 - 90 s<sup>(180)</sup>. Also, a hydrogen peroxide sensor based on antagonism of peroxide reaction to tyrosinase reaction using common substrates was reported<sup>(181)</sup>. Akyilmaz and Dinckaya<sup>(170)</sup> developed an amperometric biosensor based on catalase enzyme for the determination of ethanol at 0.05 - 1.0 mM concentration, a detection limit of 0.05 mM and a response time of 3 min. GC is being applied as one of the most common methods for ethanol determination in beverages<sup>(182,183)</sup>. However, it is still relatively expensive and demands skilled operators and often a sample pretreatment.

A microplate based on dielectric thin membrane in combination with a tiny capillary was applied to simultaneously determine several parameters such as superficial tension, latent heat of evaporation, boiling point and heat capacity of alcohol-water mixture. The application of lipophilic fluorescent reagent and fluorescein octadecyl ester (chromoionophore XI) in fiber-optic sensor for the determination of aliphatic alcohols (in the range of 10 - 60% v/v) has been reported<sup>(184)</sup>. An application of lipophilic trifluoroacetophenone derivatives in optical alcohol sensors was reported by Simon's group<sup>(185,186)</sup>. Synthesis of a variety of chromogenic alcohol-sensitive reactants has also been reported<sup>(187,188)</sup>.

Several studies have applied potentiometric polymeric membrane electrodes for indirect detection of various alcohols<sup>(189-191)</sup>. Such response from these electrodes is mainly due to the effect of alcohols on the activity of the electrode's primary ion. In these sensors, a mechanism similar to that in the human gustatory system is used for artificial tasting purposes. Electronic tongues were also reported for the analysis of alcohol content in beverages<sup>(192,193)</sup>. Flow-through electronic tongues based on miniaturized solid-state potentiometric sensors were also utilized for the recognition of beers<sup>(194)</sup>.

Lvova *et al.*<sup>(195)</sup> developed an analytical instrument allowing rapid and on-line control of ethanol content in beverages in a wide range of concentration. In this research, the potentiometric responses of porphyrin-based solvent

polymeric membranes towards several aliphatic monoatomic alcohols in single-, two- and four-component solutions were evaluated. Sensitivity of membranes in single-component alcohol solutions decreased in the following order: ethanol > methanol > butanol. Boujtita *et al.*<sup>(196)</sup> developed a disposable amperometric biosensor for ethanol analysis. It comprised a screen-printed carbon electrode doped with 5% cobalt phthalocyanine and coated with alcohol oxidized; a permselective membrane on the surface acts as a barrier for interfering elements. The measurement of ethanol was based on the signal produced by hydrogen peroxide, a product of the enzymatic reaction. MIMS (as mentioned in Section I) is another technique for monitoring ethanol concentration during the brewing fermentation<sup>(197)</sup>.

### 3. Phenolic Compounds and Riboflavin

The methods for the analysis of phenolic compounds and different ions in beer were mentioned in Section I. Several methods have been developed to evaluate antioxidant capacity of food based on the evaluation of the free radical scavenging capacity. The most commonly used methods are based on molecular absorption spectrophotometry (MAS) using a UV-VIS instrument. This is due to the simplicity of the operation and also its relatively lower cost. They are considered as indirect methods since free radicals from an aromatic organic compound are measured<sup>(198-200)</sup>. Electrochemical techniques<sup>(201)</sup> and also techniques based on the determination of the lag time by electron spin resonance (ESR) have as well been reported<sup>(202,203)</sup>.

Riboflavin content in beer was determined by capillary electrophoresis/blue light emitting diode (LED)-induced fluorescence detection combined with a dynamic pH conjunction technique. LEDs have been developed since the 1960s and constitute an exceptionally stable light. They are considered as an ultra-high intensity sources. LEDs became commercially available in mid-1990s at a variety of wavelengths in the visible spectrum<sup>(204)</sup>. A dynamic pH conjunction method represents one of the on-line sample concentration techniques<sup>(205,206)</sup>. The principal mechanism of this takes advantage of velocity-difference-induced focusing, in which an analyte migrates differentially within two distinct segments of the background electrolyte resulting in the compression of the analyte into a narrow zone prior to reaching the detector<sup>(205)</sup>. The traditional chromatographic methods, such as HPLC, GC and supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) require complicated procedures to prepare and pre-concentrate (by liquid-liquid or SPE) the analytes<sup>(204)</sup>. HPLC<sup>(207)</sup> and electrophoresis<sup>(29)</sup> have been used for the analysis of vitamins in beer. Sikorska *et al.*<sup>(208)</sup> used fluorescence spectroscopy for monitoring changes occurring in beer during storage under different conditions. Table 3 shows several publications on the analysis of nutritional components of beer.

## II. Microbiological Analysis

Only a narrow range of microorganisms can grow in

beer. Lactic acid bacteria are the main spoilers<sup>(209)</sup>. Spoilage microorganisms can deteriorate the qualitative profile of beer. These undesirable effects cost millions of dollars in economic losses annually. In this category, some advanced methods of qualitative determination (detection and identification) or quantitative determination (enumeration) of microorganisms (not their chemical or physical impacts in food) are mentioned.

The conventional plate count procedure for qualitative or quantitative microbiological analysis suffers from both specificity and sensitivity aspects. In addition, it takes a relatively long time before results are available<sup>(210)</sup>. Therefore, newer rapid and reliable methods of detection are of great interest for the food industry. Different methods including immunological assays<sup>(211-215)</sup>, polymerase chain reaction (PCR)<sup>(209,216-218)</sup>, fluorescence or chemiluminescence<sup>(219-222)</sup>, and quartz crystal microbalance<sup>(223)</sup> have been developed that are applied for identification purposes. Although hybridization and PCR techniques are very specific and suitable for screening purposes, they still fail to produce accurate results when enumeration of viable microorganisms is needed<sup>(210)</sup>. Among these methods, the most promising ones are those that are based on immunoassay technology since they present higher sensitivity and specificity in considerably shorter times. Monoclonal antibodies have the advantage of

ensuring reproducibility and a permanent reagent supply<sup>(210)</sup>. They have been applied for the specific detection and identification of lactic acid bacteria<sup>(213,214,224-226)</sup>. They have been employed in the conventional and modified ELISA methods<sup>(213,226,227)</sup>, immunoblotting<sup>(224,225)</sup> and filter epifluorescence antibody<sup>(228)</sup> techniques. However, these methods do not provide sufficient accuracy and sensitivity for the analysis<sup>(210)</sup>. On the other hand, luminescence assays are highly sensitive. Therefore, a combination of immunoassay technology with luminescence detection (detection of light produced by a chemiluminescence reaction coupled to an antigen-antibody interaction) may provide a specific and sensitive detection system with high potential for quantifying viable bacteria<sup>(210)</sup>. Juvonen *et al.*<sup>(229)</sup> developed and evaluated group-specific PCR methods to detect and differentiate strictly anaerobic beer-spoilage bacteria. A group-specific primer pair targeting a 342-bp variable region of the 16S rRNA gene was designed and evaluated in end-point PCR with gel electrophoresis and in real-time PCR with SYBR Green I dye. The PCR methods developed allow the detection of all the nine beer-spoilage *Pectinatus*, *Megasphaera*, *Selenomonas* and *Zymophilus* species in a single reaction and their differentiation sub-group level, and reduce the analysis time for testing of their presence in beer samples by 1 - 2 days. The methods can be applied for routine quality

**Table 3.** Several publications on the analysis of the nutritional and medicinal aspects of beer.

Parameter	Method of analysis	Source
Fermentable carbohydrates analysis	HPLC	23, 112
	Colorimetric methods	23
	Electrophoresis	29
Protein content determination	Hydrophobic interaction chromatography	161
	Two-dimensional <i>J</i> -resolved nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy	157
	HPLC	162
	Electrophoresis	29
	Electrophoresis	121
	HPLC by <i>o</i> -phthaldialdehyde (OPA) derivatization of amino acids	23
	Dialysis	23
	Electrophoresis	22
	Ultraviolet absorbance	86
	Enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay (ELISA)	160
	Size exclusion chromatography (gel filtration)	33
Alcohol (ethanol) analysis	Measuring fluorescence	
	Catalytic combustion using a "Servochem Automatic Beer Analysier" (SCABA)	164
	Infrared or near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopy or combination of two methods	167, 168
	Refractive index analysis procedure	23
	GC with flame ionization detector	165
	Two-dimensional <i>J</i> -resolved nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy	157
	Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy	168
	Spectrophotometry (340 nm) (measuring reduction of co-factor; an enzymatic procedure)	170
	Biosensors (including amperometric ones)	171 - 181, 196

Table 3. continued

Parameter	Method of analysis	Source
	Gas-liquid chromatography (GLC/GC)	182, 183
	Determination of alcohol by analysing several physical parameters (e. g. supercritical tension, latent heat of evaporation, boiling point and heat capacity of alcohol-water mixture) using microplate based on dielectric thin membrane in combination with a tiny capillary	195
	Potentiometric polymeric membrane electrode	189 - 191
	Electronic tongues (array of cross-sensitive sensors coupled with adequate data treatments)	192, 194, 195
	Potentiometric responses of porphyrin-based solvent polymeric membranes toward different alcohols (on-line monitoring of alcohol)	195
	Fibre-optic sensors comprising lipophilic fluorescent reagents (for aliphatic alcohols)	184 - 188
	Membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS) (continuous monitoring of ethanol)	197
Polyphenols analysis	(Table 1, flavor)	(Table 1, flavour)
Ions analysis	Electrodes (conductometry)	23
	Atomic absorption spectroscopy	23
	Ion chromatography	23
	Flame atomic spectrometry	119
	Integrated-atom-trap system mounted on a standard atomic absorption air-acetylene flame burner	199
	Flow injection (FI) system with in-valve column and bed injection	8
Determination of antioxidant capacity	Molecular absorption spectrophotometry UV-VIS (MAS) (based on the pre-formation of free radicals)	90, 198, 200
	Electrochemical techniques and procedure based on the determination of lag time by electron spin resonance (ESR)	201 - 203
Riboflavin	Capillary electrophoresis/blue light emitting diode (LED)-induced fluorescence detection combined with a dynamic pH junction technique	204 - 206
	HPLC with liquid-liquid or solid-phase extraction	206, 207
	Electrophoresis	29
	Fluorescence spectroscopy	202

control in brewery and for studying occurrence, diversity and numbers of the strictly anaerobic beer spoilers in the brewing process.

Television cameras have been used as imaging devices for rapid and sensitive immunochemiluminescence detection and viable enumeration of *Escherichia coli*<sup>(215,230)</sup> and a beer spoilage strain of *Lactobacillus brevis*<sup>(231)</sup>. March *et al.*<sup>(210)</sup> managed to detect and count viable beer-spoilage lactic acid bacteria using a monoclonal chemiluminescence enzyme immunoassay and a cooled digital charge-coupled device (CCD) camera. The microorganisms in beer can be pre-concentrated (cells capture) using a sterile membrane filter with pore-sizes of 0.22 or 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$ . With direct epifluorescent filter technique, the cells trapped on the filter are stained with a fluorescent dye such as acidine orange. Viable cells strains can be observed as orange and dead cell strains as green spots<sup>(22)</sup>. Commercially, the amount of contaminating microorganisms in beer has been recommended to be assessed: (1) in a hemocytometer, (2) electronically in a Coulter particle counter or (3) using Abmeter, by optical procedure using NIR

spectroscopy<sup>(22)</sup>. Hemocytometer is a counting chamber loaded onto a microscope slide. Wild yeasts have been quantitatively determined by GC analysis<sup>(112)</sup>. The growth kinetics of yeast cells during the fermentation process can be monitored by the assessment of optical density using a spectrophotometer at suitable wavelengths. Table 4 lists several published articles on the microbiological analysis of beer.

Haakensen *et al.*<sup>(232)</sup> designed a method to assess the ability of *Lactobacillus* and *Pediococcus* to spoil beer; that is, to evaluate the beer-spoilage potential of mentioned bacterial isolates. In searching for a method to differentiate between beer-spoilage bacteria and bacteria that cannot grow in beer, Haakensen *et al.*<sup>(232)</sup> explored the ability of lactobacilli and pediococci isolates to grow in the presence of varying concentrations of hop compounds and ethanol in broth medium *versus* agar medium. The best method for differentiating between bacteria that can grow in beer and bacteria that do not pose a threat as beer-spoilage organisms was found to be a hop-gradient agar plate containing ethanol. This hop-gradient agar plate technique provides a rapid and

**Table 4.** Several published articles on the microbiological analysis of beer

Parameter	Method of analysis	Source
Detection, identification and enumeration of bacteria and yeasts	Immunological methods/immunoassay (e.g., monoclonal antibodies, immunoblotting and epifluorescence antibody)	211 - 215, 224 - 229
	Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	209, 216 - 218
	Fluorescence and chemiluminescence assays	219 - 222
	Quartz crystal microbalance	223
	Combination of immunoassay technology with luminescence detection	210
	Immunochemiluminescence detection with television camera	215, 230, 231
	Monoclonal chemiluminescence enzyme immunoassay with a cooled digital charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (viable count of spoilage lactic acid bacteria)	210
	Hemocytometer	22
	Coulter particle counter (electronic procedure)	22
	Abmeter (optical procedure using near-infrared, NIR, spectroscopy)	22
	GC (wild yeasts)	13

simple solution to the dilemma of assessing the ability of *Lactobacillus* and *Pediococcus* isolates to grow in beer, and provides new insights into the different strategies used by these bacteria to survive under the stringent conditions of beer.

Asano *et al.*<sup>(233)</sup> evaluated a microcolony method for the detection and identification of beer-spoilage lactic acid bacteria (LAB). In this approach, bacterial cells were trapped on a polycarbonate membrane filter and cultured on ABD medium, a medium that allows highly specific detection of beer-spoilage LAB strains. After short-time incubation, viable cells forming microcolonies were stained with carboxyfluorescein diacetate and counted with  $\mu$ Finder Inspection System. All of the slowly growing beer-spoilage LAB strains were detected within 3 days of incubation. The specificity of this method was found to be exceptionally high and even discriminated intra-species differences in beer-spoilage ability of LAB strains upon detection. The results indicated that this method allows rapid and specific detection of beer-spoilage LAB strains with inexpensive CFDA staining. For further confirmation of species status of detected strains, subsequent treatment with species-specific fluorescence in-situ hybridization (FISH) probes was shown effective for identifying the CFDA-detected microcolonies to the species level. In addition, no false-positive results arising from noise signals were recognized for CFDA staining and FISH methods. Taken together, the developed microcolony method was demonstrated as a rapid and highly specific countermeasure against beer spoilage LAB, and compared favorably with the conventional culture methods.

## CONCLUSIONS

Flavor, chemical hygiene (chemical safety), nutritional and medicinal as well as microbiological attributes are among the key characteristics of beer. In this article, major

instrumental methods of analysis relevant to chemical and microbiological characteristics of beer were discussed. These methods have been successfully applied for beer analysis. Ease of operation combined with a quick result is the most important characteristic one should look for when trying to select among several methods. Furthermore, adequate sensitivity (that is, a low detection limit) and selectivity as well as good accuracy and precision levels are expected in a typical method applied for beer analysis.

## REFERENCES

1. Anon 2004. Brewers Association of Japan. Available online on <http://www.brewers.or.jp/English/10-conspt2.html>.
2. Sohrabvandi, S., Mousavi, S. M., Razavi, S. H., Mortazavian, A. M. and Rezaei, K. 2009. A review: Application of advanced instrumental techniques for analysis of physical and physicochemical properties of beer. *Int. J. Food Prop.* In Press.
3. Devoulyte, K., Stewart, S. H. and Theakston, J. A. 2006. Is beer the drink of choice for woman with alcohol use problem? Positive alcohol outcome expectancies as a function of beverage type. *Addict. Behav.* 31: 1133-1143.
4. Guarna, J. and Rosenberg, H. 2000. Influence over dose and beverage-type instructions on alcohol outcome expectancies of DUI offenders. *J. Stud. Alcohol* 61: 341-344.
5. Innes, G. 1998. Cost-effectiveness of beer versus red wine for the prevention of symptomatic coronary artery disease. *Can. Med. Assoc. J.* 159: 1463-1466.
6. Bamforth, C. W. 2002. Nutritional aspects of beer-a review. *Nutr. Res.* 22: 227-237.
7. Hardwick, W. A., Van Oevelen, D. E., Novellie, L. and Yoshizawa, K. 1995a. Kinds of beer and beer kile

- beverages. In "Handbook of Brewing". 1st ed. pp. 53-85. Hardwick, W.A. ed. Marcel Dekker. New York, U.S.A.
8. Bondoux, G., Jandik, P. and Jones, W. R. 1992. New approach to the analysis of low levels of anions in water. *J. Chromatogr. A* 602: 79-88.
9. Lewis, M. J. and Younger, T. W. 1996. Beer quality and flavor. In "Brewing". 2nd ed. pp. 232-242. Chapman and Hall. London, U.K.
10. Fantozzi, P., Montanari, L., Mancini, F., Gasbarini, A., Addolorato, G., Simoncini, M., Nardini, M., Ghiselli, A. and Scaccini, C. 1998. In vitro antioxidant capacity from wort to beer. *Lebensm. Wiss. U. Technol.* 31: 221-227.
11. Lgyor, M. A., Ogbonna, A. C. and Palmer, G. H. 2001. Effect of malting temperature and mashing and beer flavor. *Process Biochem.* 36: 1039-1044.
12. Stopka, J., Bugar, S. G., Broussous, L., Schlosser, S. and Larbot, A. 2001. Microfiltration of beer yeast suspensions through stamped ceramic membranes. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 25: 535-543.
13. Munroe, J. H. 1994a. Fermentation. In "Handbook of brewing". 1st ed. pp. 323-354. Hardwick, W. A. ed. Marcel Dekker. New York, U.S.A.
14. Hansel, R. and Schulz, J. 1986. Hopfen und Hopfenpräparate: Fragen zur pharmazeutischen qualität. *Dtsch. Apoth. Ztg.* 126: 2033-2037.
15. Stevens, J. F., Taylor, A. W. and Deinzer, M. L. 1999. Quantitative analysis of xanthohumol and related prenylflavonoids in hops and beer by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromotogr. A* 832: 97-107.
16. Coward, L., Krik, M., Albin, N. and Barnes, S. 1996. Analysis of plasma isoflavones by reversed-phase HPLC-multiple reaction ion monitoring-mass spectrometry. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 247: 121-142.
17. Barnes, K. A., Smith, R. A., Williams, K., Damant, A. P. and Sheppard, M. J. 1996. A microbore high performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization mass spectrometry method for the determination of the phytoestrogens genistein and daidzein in comminuted baby foods and soya flour. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrum* 12: 130-138.
18. Etokakpan, O. U. 2005. Rapid chemical aging test of lager beers made principally from sorghum. *Process Biochem.* 40: 2489-2491.
19. Schultz, J., Cornelius, J., Adams, J. and Clark, D. A. 1999. Rapid and economical test for determining beer stability. *MBAA. Tech. Quart.* 36, 203-206.
20. Chapon, L. 1994. The mechanics of beer stabilization. *Brew. Guard.* 46-50.
21. Dadic, M. 1984. Beer stability-a key to success in brewing. *MBAA Tech. Quart.* 21: 9-26.
22. Lewis, M. J. and Bamforth, C. W. 2006. Essays in brewing science. 1st ed. 169 pp. Springer. California, U.S.A.
23. Briggs, D. E., Boulton, C. A., Brookes, P. A., Stevens, R. 2004. Chemical and physical properties of beer. In "Brewing Science and Practice". 1st ed. pp. 662-712. CRC Press LLC. New York, U.S.A.
24. Qureshi, A. A., Burger, W. C. and Prentice, N. J. 1979. Polyphenols and pyrazines in beer during aging. *Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 37: 153-160.
25. Whittle, N., Eldridge, H., Bartley, J. and Gregory, O. 1999. Identification of the polyphenols in barely and beer by HPLC/MC and HPLC/electrochemical detection *J. Inst. Brew.* 105: 89-99
26. Lapcik, O., Hill, M., Hampl, R., Wahala, K. and Adlercreutz, H. 1998. Identification of isoflavonoids in beer. *Steroids* 63: 14-20.
27. Nardini, M., Natella, F., Scaccini, C. and Ghiselli, A. 2006. Phenolic acids from beer are absorbed and extensively metabolized in humans. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 17: 14-22.
28. Dadic, M. and Belleau, G 1979. Characterized acylated procyanidins from beer. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 34: 158-165.
29. Cortacero-Ramirez, S., de Castro, M. H. B., Segura-carretero, A., Cruces-Blanco, C. and Fernandez-Gutierrez, A. 2003. Analysis of beer components by capillary electrophoretic methods. *Trends Anal. Chem.* 22: 440-445.
30. Cummings, E. A., Linquette-Mailley, S., Mailley, P., Cosnier, S., Eggins, B. R. and McAdams, E. T. 2001. A comparison of amperometric screen-printed, carbon electrodes and their application to the analysis of phenolic compounds present in beers. *Talanta* 55: 1015-1027.
31. Cummings, E. A., Mailley, P., Linquette-Mailley, S., Eggins, B. R., McAdams, E. T. and McFadden, S. 1998. Characterisation and optimisation of a carbon paste biosensor based on plant tissues. Application to the detection of flavonols in beers. *Analyst* 123: 1975-1980.
32. Eggins, B. R., Hickey, C., Toft, S. A. and Zhou, D. M. 1997. Determination. of flavanols in beers with tissue biosensors. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 347: 281-288.
33. Bamforth, C. W., Kapp, G. R. and Smythe, J. E. 2001. The measurement of hydrophobic polypeptides in beer using the fluorochrome 1-anilino-8-naphthalenesulfonate. *Food Chem.* 75: 377-383.
34. Vanhoenacker, G., De Keukeleire, D. and Sandra, P. 2004. Analysis of iso- $\alpha$ -acids in beer by direct injection and liquid chromatography with ultraviolet absorbance detection or with mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1035: 53-61.
35. Andersen, M. L. and Skibsted, J. 2001. Modification of the levels of polyphenols in wort and beer by addition of hexamethylenetetramine or sulfite during mashing. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 49: 5232-5237.
36. Floridi, L., Montanari, L., Marconi, O. and Fantozzi, P. 2003. Determination of free phenolic acids in wort and beer by coulometric array detection. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 51: 1548-1554.
37. Madigan, I., McMurrough, I. and Smyth, M. R. 1994.

- Rapid determination of 4-vinyl guaiacol. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 52: 152-155.
38. De Pascual-Teresa, S., Santos-Buelga, C. and Rivas-Gonzalo, J. C. 2000. Quantitative analysis of flavan-3-ols in Spanish foodstuffs and beverages. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 48: 5331-5337.
  39. Garcia-Sanchez, F., Carnero, C. and Heredia, A. 1988. Fluorometric determination of p-coumaric acid in beer. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 36: 80-82.
  40. Betes-Saura, C., Andres-Lacueva, C. and Lamuela-Raventos, R. M. 1996. Phenolics in white free run juices and wines. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 44: 3040-3046.
  41. Guillen, D. A., Merello, F., Barroso, C. G. and Perez-Bustamante, J. A. 1997. Solid-phase extraction for sample preparation, in the HPLC analysis of polyphenolic compounds in "Fino" sherry wine. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 45: 403-406.
  42. Karagiannis, S., Economou, A. and Lanaridis, P. 2000. Phenolic and volatile composition of wines made from *Vitis vinifera* Cv. Muscat Lefko grapes from the island of Samos. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 48: 5369-5375.
  43. Hayes, P. J., Smyth, M. R. and McMurrugh, I. 1987. Comparison of electrochemical and ultraviolet detection methods in HPLC for the determination of phenolic compounds commonly found in beer. *Analyst* 112: 1197-1204.
  44. Montanari, L., Perretti, G., Natella, F., Guidi, A. and Fantozzi, P. 1999. Organic and phenolic acids in beer. *Lebensm.-Wiss. U.-Technol.* 32: 535-539.
  45. Lunte, C. E., Wheeler, J. F. and Heineman, W. R. 1988. Determination of selected phenolic acids in beer extract. *Analyst* 113: 95-98.
  46. Garcia, A. A., Grande, B. C. and Gandara, J. S. 2004. Development of a rapid method based on solid-phase extraction and liquid chromatography with ultraviolet absorbance detection for the determination of polyphenols in alcohol-free beers. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1054: 175-180.
  47. Vanbeneden, N., Delvaux, F. and Develux, F. R. 2006. Determination of hydroxycinnamic acids and volatile phenols in wort and beer by isocratic high-performance liquid chromatography using electrochemical detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1136: 237-242.
  48. Jandera, P., Skerikova, V., Rehova, L., Hajek, L., Baldrianova, G., Skopova, V., Kellner, A. and Horna, A. 2005. RP-HPLC analysis of phenolic compounds and flavonoids in beverages and plant extracts using a CoulArray detector. *J. Sep. Sci.* 28: 1005-1022.
  49. Callemien, D., Dasnoy, S. and Collin, S. 2006. Identification in naturally aged beer extracts of a stale beer-like odorant. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 54: 1409-1413.
  50. Carrillo, J. D., Garrido-Lopez, A. and Tena, M. T. 2006. Determination of volatile oak compounds in wine by headspace solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1102: 25-136.
  51. Diez, J., Dominguez, C., Guillen, D. A., Veas, R. and Barroso, C. G. 2004. Optimisation of stir bar sorptive extraction for the analysis of volatile phenols in wines. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1025: 263-267.
  52. Castro Mejias, R., Marin, R. N., Moreno, M. G. and Barroso, C. G. 2003. Optimisation of headspace solid-phase microextraction for the analysis of volatile phenols in wine. *J. Chromatogr. A* 995: 11-20.
  53. Pollnitz, A. P., Pardon, K. H. and Sefton, M. A. 2000. Quantitative analysis of 4-ethylphenol and 4-ethylguaiacol in red wine. *J. Chromatogr. A* 874: 101-109.
  54. Villarreal, R., Sierra, J. A. and Cardenas, L. 1986. Rapid and Sensitive Method for the Determination of 4-Vinyl Guaiacol in Beer by Electron-Capture Gas-Liquid Chromatography. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 52: 152-158.
  55. Pusecker, K., Albert, K. and Bayer, E. 1999. Investigation of hop and beer bitter acids by coupling of high-performance liquid chromatography to nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *J. Chromatogr. A* 836: 245-252.
  56. Altria, K. D., Frake, P., Gill, I., Hadgatt, T., Kelly, M. A. and Rudd, D. R. 1995. Validated capillary electrophoresis method for the assay of a range of basic drugs. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 13: 951-956.
  57. Masselter, S. M. and Zemann, A. 1995. Separation of Cresols Using Co-Electroosmotic Capillary Electrophoresis. *J. Anal. Chem.* 67: 1047-1053.
  58. Zemann, A. J. and Volgger, D. 1997. Separation of Priority Pollutant Phenols with Co-electroosmotic Capillary Electrophoresis. *J. Anal. Chem.* 69: 3243-3250.
  59. Gorinstein, S., Caspi, A., Zemser, M. and Trakhtenberg, S. 2000. Comparative contents of some phenolics in beer, red and white wines. *Nutr. Res.* 20: 131-139.
  60. Gorinstein, S., Weisz, M., Zemser, M., Tilis, K., Stiller, A., Flam, I. and Gat, J. 1993. Spectroscopic analysis of polyphenols in white wines. *J. Ferment. Bioeng.* 75: 115-120.
  61. Kotiaho, T., Lauritsen, F. R., Choudhory, T. K., Cooks, R. G. and Tsao, G. T. 1991. Membrane introduction mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 63: 875A-883A.
  62. Tarkiainen, V., Kotiaho, T., Mattila, I., Virkajarvi, I., Aristidou, A. and Ketola, R. A. 2005. On-line monitoring of continues beer fermentation process using automatic membrane inlet mass spectrometric system. *Talanta* 65: 1254-1263.
  63. Ketola, R. A., Virkki, V. T., Ojala, M., Komppa, V. and Koitaho, T. 1997. Comparison of different methods for the determination of volatile organic compounds in water samples. *Talanta* 44: 373-382.
  64. Ojala, M., Ketola, R. A., Masikka, T., Kotiaho, T. and Kostianinen, R. 1999. Determination of mono- and sesquiterpenes in water samples by membrane inlet mass spectrometry and static headspace gas chromatography. *Talanta* 49: 179-188.
  65. Ketola, R. A., Masikka, T., Cisner, M. E. and Allen, T. M. 2002. Environmental applications of membrane

- introduction mass spectrometry. *J. Mass Spectro.* 37: 457-476.
66. Lauritsen, F. R. and Gylling, S. 1995. On-line monitoring of biological reactions at low parts-per-trillion levels by membrane inlet mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 67: 1418-1420.
67. Shabtai, Y. and Mandel, C. 1993. Control of ethanol production and monitoring of membrane performance by mass-spectrometric gas analysis in the coupled fermentation-pervaporation of whey permeate. *Appl. Microbiol. Biot.* 40: 470-476.
68. Srinivasan, N., Kasthurikrishnan, N., Cooks, R. G., Krishnan, M. S. and Tsao, G. T. 1995. On-line monitoring with feedback control of bioreactors using high ethanol tolerance yeast by membrane introduction mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 316: 269-276.
69. Wei, W., Mura, K. and Shibamoto, T. 2001. Antioxidative activity of volatile chemicals extracted from beer. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 49: 4097-4101.
70. Guido, L. F., Carneiro, J. R., Santos, J. R., Almedia, P. J., Rodrigues, J. A. and Barros, A. A. 2004. Simultaneous determination of *E*-2-nonenal and  $\beta$ -damascenone in beer by reversed-phase liquid chromatography with UV detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1032: 17-22.
71. Zollner, R., Berner, D., Jodlbauer, J. and Lindner, W. 2000. Determination of zearalenone and its metabolites  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -zearalenol in beer samples by high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. B* 738: 233-241.
72. Goiris, K., De Ridder, M., De Rouck, G., Boeykens, A., Van Opstaele, F., Aerts, G., De Cooman, L. and De Keukeleire, D. 2002. The oxygenated sesquiterpenoid fraction of hops in relation to the spicy hop character of beer. *J. Inst. Brew.* 108: 86-93.
73. Vanderhaegen, B., Neves, H., Coghe, S., Verstrepen, K. J., Verachtert, H. and Dredelinckx, G. 2003. Evaluation of chemical and sensory properties during aging of top-fermented beer. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 51: 6782-6790.
74. Artur, C. L. and Pawliszyn, J. 1990. Headspace solid phase microextraction. *Anal. Chem.* 62: 1843-1852.
75. Ibanez, E. and Bernhard, R. 1996. Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) of pyrazines in model reaction systems. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 72: 91-96.
76. Pawliszyn, J. 1990. Solid-phase microextraction: theory and practice. 1st ed. Wiley-VCH. New York, U.S.A.
77. Zhang, Z. and Pawliszyn, J. Headspace solid phase microextraction. *Anal. Chem.* 1993, 65, 1843-1845.
78. Pinho, O., Ferreira, I. and Santos, L. 2006. Method optimization by solid-phase microextraction in combination with gas chromatography with mass spectrometry for analysis of beer volatile fraction. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1121: 145-153.
79. Ojala, M., Kotiaho, T., Siirila, J. and Sihvonen, M. L. 1994. Analysis of aldehydes and ketones from beer as *O*-(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzyl)hydroxylamine derivatives. *Talanta* 41: 1297-1309.
80. Sakuma, S. and Kowaka, J. 1994. Flavor Characteristics of *cis*-3-nonenal in Beer. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 52: 37-41.
81. Greenhoff, K. and Wheeler, R. E. 1981. Analysis of beer carbonyls at the part per billion levels by combined liquid chromatography and high pressure liquid chromatography. *J. Inst. Brew.* 86: 35-41.
82. Santos, J. R., Carneiro, J. R., Guido, L. F., Almedia, P. J., Rodrigues, J. A. and Barros, A. A. 2003. Determination of *E*-2-nonenal by high-performance liquid chromatography with UV detection Assay for the evaluation of beer aging. *J. Chromatogr. A* 985: 395-402.
83. Santos, J. R., Carneiro, J. R., Guido, L. F., Almedia, P. J., Rodrigues, J. A. and Barros, A. A. 2003. Proceeding of 25th ISCC, Rival Del Garda, I. O. P. M. S, Kortrijk, Belgium, CD-ROM, paper F12.
84. Haryama, K., Hayase, F. and Kato, H. 1994. Evaluation by a multivariate analysis of the stale flavor formed while storing beer. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 58: 1595-1598.
85. Drost, B. W., Van-Den-Berg, R., Freijee, F. J. M., Van-Der-Velde, E. G. and Hollemans, M. 1990. Flavor stability. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 48: 124-131.
86. Evans, D. J., Schmedding, D. J. M., Bruijnje, A., Heideman, T., King, B. M. and Groebeeck, N.M. 1991. Flavor impact of aged beers. *J. Inst. Brew.* 105: 301-307.
87. Penton, Z. E. 1997. Sample preparation for gas chromatography with solid phase extraction and solid-phase microextraction. *Adv. Chromatogr.* 37: 205-236.
88. Ochiai, N., Sasmoto, K., Daishima, S., Heiden, A.C. and Hoffmann, A. 2003. Determination of state-flavor carbonyl compounds in beer by stir bar sorptive extraction with in-situ derivatization and thermal desorption-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* 986: 101-110.
89. Baltussen, E., Sandra, P., David, F. and Cramer, C. 1999. Stir bar sorptive extraction (SBSE), a novel extraction technique for aqueous samples: Theory and principles. *J. Microcol. Sep.* 12: 737-747.
90. Onate-Jaen, A., Bellido-milla, D. and Hernandez-artiga, M. P. 2006. Spectrophotometric methods to differentiate beers and evaluate beer aging. *Food Chem.* 97: 361-369.
91. Vanderhaegen, B., Delvaux, F., Daenen, L., Verachtert, H. and Delvaux, F. R. 2007. Aging characteristics of different beer types. *Food Chem.* 103: 404-412.
92. Miwa, H. and Yamamoto, M. 1996. Determination of mono-, poly- and hydroxy-carboxylic acid profiles of beverages as their 2-nitrophenylhydrazides by reversed-phase ion-pair chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. A* 721: 261-268.
93. Hill, P.G. and Smith R. M. 2000. Determination of sulphur compounds in beer using headspace solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatographic analysis with pulsed flame photometric detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* 872: 203-213.
94. Hermans-Lokkerbol, A. C. J. and Verpoorte, R. 1994.

- Development and validation of a high performance liquid chromatography system for the analysis of hop bitter acids. *J. Chromatogr. A* 669: 65-73.
95. Ting, P. L. and Goldstein, H. 1996. Preparation and purification of hop acids and their derivatives. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 54: 103-109.
  96. Cortacero-Ramirez, S., Segura-carretero, A., De Castro, M. H. B. and Fernandez-Gutierrez, A. 2005. Determination of low-molecular-mass organic acids in any type of beer samples by coelectroosmotic capillary electrophoresis. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1064: 115-119.
  97. Volgger, D. T., Zemmann, A. J. and Bonn, G. K. 1997. High-speed separation of carboxylic acids by co-electroosmotic capillary electrophoresis with direct and indirect UV detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* 758: 263-276.
  98. Lermusieau, G., Bulens, M. and Collin, S. 2001. Use of GC-olfactometry to identify the hop aromatic compounds in beer. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 49: 3867-3874.
  99. Xiao, Q., Yu, C., Xing, J. and Hu, B. 2006. Comparison of headspace and direct single-drop microextraction and headspace solid-phase microextraction for the measurement of volatile sulfur compounds in beer and beverage by gas chromatography with flame photometric detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1125: 133-137.
  100. Dercksen, A. W., Meijering, I. and Axcell, B. 1992. Rapid quantification of flavor-active sulfur compounds in beer. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 50: 93-101.
  101. Peppard, T. 1988. Modern methods of plant analysis, beer analysis. Listen, H. F. and Jackson, J. F. eds. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
  102. Ilett, D. S., Burke, S. and Simpon, W. J. 1996. Measurement and prediction of the rate of loss of sulphur dioxide from beer. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 70: 337-340.
  103. Dupire, S. 1999. Determination of DMS and other volatile compounds in beer by headspace capillary gas chromatography and flame ionisation detection. *J. Inst. Brew.* 105: 265-269.
  104. Buckee, G. K. and Mundy, A. P. 1994a. Determination of vicinal diketones in beer by gas chromatography (headspace technique): collaborative trial. *J. Inst. Brew.* 100: 247-252.
  105. Tian, J., Yu, J., Chen, X. and Zhang, W. 2009. Determination and quantitative analysis of acetoin in beer with headspace sampling-gas chromatography. *Food Chem.* 112, 1079-1083.
  106. da Silva, G. A., Augusto, F., Poppi, R. J. 2008. Exploratory analysis of the volatile profile of beers by HS-SPME-GC. *Food Chem.* 111: 1057-1063.
  107. Buckee, G. K. 1994b. Determination of total nitrogen in barley, malt, and beer by Kjeldahl procedures and the Dumas combustion method. *J. Inst. Brew.* 100: 57-64.
  108. Buckee, G. K. 1974. Estimation of nitrogen with an ammonia probe. *J. Inst. Brew.* 80: 291-294.
  109. Buckee, G. K. 1997. The current status of analytical methods in the brewing industry. *J. Inst. Brew.* 103: 115-121.
  110. Johnson, B. A. and Johnson, C. G. 1999. Determination of the total soluble nitrogen content of malt and beer by the Dumas combustion method: Collaborative trial. *J. Inst. Brew.* 105: 360-364.
  111. Rudnitskaya, A., Polshin, E., Kirsanov, D., Lammertyn, J., Nicolai, B., Saison, D., Delvaux, F. R., Delvaux, F. and Legin A. 2009. Instrumental measurement of beer taste attributes using an electronic tongue. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 646: 111-118.
  112. Hardwick, W. A. 1995d. The properties of beer. In "Handbook of brewing". 1st ed. pp. 551-586. Hardwick, W. A., ed. Marcel Dekker, New York, U.S.A.
  113. Loret, S., Deloyer, P. and Dandrifosse, G. 2005. Levels of biogenic amines as a measure of the quality of the beer fermentation process: Data from Belgian samples. *Food Chem.* 89: 519-525.
  114. Hsing, A.W., McLaughlin, J. K., Chow, W. H., Schuman, L. M., Chien, H. T. C., Gridley, G., Bielke, E., Wacholder, S. and Blot, W. J. 1998. Risk factors for colorectal cancer in a prospective study among US white men. *Int. J. Cancer* 77: 549-553.
  115. Lijinsky, W. 1999. N-nitroso compounds in the diet. *Mut. Res.* 443: 129-138.
  116. Storey, E. and Masters, C. L. 1995. Aluminium and the aetiology of Alzheimer's disease. *Med. J. Aust.* 163: 256-259.
  117. Shim, W. B., Seo, J. A. and Lee, Y. W. 1997. Natural occurrence of trichothecenes and zearalenone in Korean and imported beers. *Food Addit. Contam.* 14: 1-5.
  118. Molto, G., Samar, M. M., Resnik, S., Martinez, E. J. and Pacin, A. 2000. Occurrence of trichothecenes in Argentinean beer: a preliminary exposure assessment. *Food Addit. Contam.* 17: 809-813.
  119. Bellido-Milla, D., Moreno-Perez, J. M. and Hernandez-Artiga, M. P. 2000. Differentiation and classification of beers with flame atomic spectrometry and molecular absorption spectrometry and sample preparation assisted by microwaves. *Spec. Acta Part B* 55: 855-864.
  120. Yurchenko, S., Molder, U. 2005. N-nitrosodimethylamine analysis in Estonian beer using positive-ion chemical ionization with gas chromatography mass spectrometry. *Food Chem.* 89: 455-463.
  121. Kutlan, D. and Molnar-Perl, I. 2003. New aspects of the simultaneous analysis of amino acids and amines as their o-phthaldialdehyde derivatives by high-performance liquid chromatography: Analysis of wine, beer and vinegar. *J. Chromatogr. A* 987: 311-322.
  122. Liu, M. T., Ram, P. B., Hart, L. P. and Pestka, J. J. 1985. Indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the mycotoxin zearalenone. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 50: 332-336.
  123. Lawrence, J. F. and Scott, P. M. 1993. Techniques, application and quality assurance. 1st ed. Barcelo, D. ed. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherland.
  124. Seidel, V., Poglits, E., Schiller, K. and Lindner, W.

1993. Simultaneous determination of ochratoxin A and zearalenone in maize by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detector. *J. Chromatogr.* 635: 227-235.
125. Hagler, W. M., Mirocha, C. J., Pathre, S. V. and Behrens, J. C. 1979. Identification of the naturally occurring isomer of zearalenol produced by *Fusarium roseum* 'Gibbosum' in rice culture. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 37: 849-853.
126. Warner, R., Ram, B. P., Hart, L. P. and Pestka, J. J. 1986. Screening for zearalenone in corn by competitive direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 34: 714-717.
127. Schuhmacher, R. Krska, M., Grasserbauer, W., Edinger, H. and Fresenius, J. 1998. Immuno-affinity columns versus conventional clean-up: A method-comparison study for the determination of zearalenone in corn. *J. Anal. Chem.* 360: 241-245.
128. Krska, R. 1998. Performance of modern sample preparation techniques in the analysis of *Fusarium* mycotoxins in cereals. *J. Chromatogr. A* 815: 49-57.
129. Zölner, P., Jodlbauer, J. and Lindner, W. 1999. Determination of zearalenone in grains by high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry after solid-phase extraction with RP-18 columns or immunoaffinity columns. *J. Chromatogr. A* 858: 167-174.
130. Schothorst, R. C. and Jekel, A. A. 2003. Determination of trichothecenes in beer by capillary gas chromatography with flame ionization detection. *Food Chem.* 82: 475-479.
131. Reinsch, M., Töpfer, A., Lehmann, A., Nehls, I. and Panne, U. 2007. Determination of ochratoxin A in beer by LC-MS/MS ion trap detection. *Food Chem.* 100: 312-317.
132. Mateo, R., Medina, Á., Mateo, E. M., Mateo, F. and Jiménez, M. 2007. An overview of ochratoxin A in beer and wine. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 119: 79-83.
133. Husáková, L., Černohorský, T., Šrámková, J. and Vavrušová, L. 2007. Direct determination of arsenic in beer by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry with deuterium background correction (D<sub>2</sub>-ET-AAS). *Food Chem.* 105: 286-292.
134. Ye, C. W., Gao, J., Yang, C., Liu, X. J., Li, X. J. and Pan, S. Y. 2009. Development and application of an SPME/GC method for the determination of trace phthalates in beer using a calix[6]arene fiber. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 641: 64-74.
135. Kim, S. and Kim, H. J. 2005. Comparison of standard methods and gas chromatography method in determination of formaldehyde emission from MDF bonded with formaldehyde-based resins. *Biores. Technol.* 96: 1457-1464.
136. Luo, E., Li, H., Zhang, Y. and Catharina, Y. M. 2001. Determination of formaldehyde in blood plasma by high performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. *J. Chromatogr. B: Biomed. Sci. Appl.* 753: 253-257.
137. Oliva-Teles, M. T., Paiga, P., Delerue-Matos, C. M. and Alvim-Ferraz, M. C. M. 2002. Determination of free formaldehyde in foundry resins as its 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone by liquid chromatography. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 467: 97-103.
138. Ones, S. B., Lister, T. E., Johnson, D. C. and Terry, C. M. 1999. Determination of submicromolar concentrations of formaldehyde by liquid chromatography. *Anal. Chem.* 71: 4030-4033.
139. Korpan, Y. I., Gonchar, M. V., Sibirny, A. A., Martelet, C., El'skaya, A. V., Gibson, T. D. and Soldatkin, A. 2000. Development of highly selective and stable potentiometer sensors for formaldehyde determination. *Biosens. Bioel.* 15: 77-83.
140. Norkus, E., Pauliukaite, R., Vaskelis, A. 1999. Polarographic determination of formaldehyde according to the anodic oxidation wave in alkaline solutions. *Electroanalysis* 11: 447-449.
141. Yang, M., Li, H. L. and Zhang, X. G. 2001. Differential-pulse voltammetric determination of trace formaldehyde using magnetic microspheres and magnetic electrode. *Analyst* 126: 676-678.
142. Zhang, Z. Q., Zhang, Z. and He, G. F. 2002. Preconcentration with membrane cell and adsorptive polarographic determination of formaldehyde in air. *Talanta* 57: 317-322.
143. Helaleh, M. I. H., Kumemura, M., Fujii, S. and Korenaga, T. 2001. A new fluorimetric method for the determination of formaldehyde in air based on the liquid droplet sampling technique. *Analyst* 126: 104-108.
144. Motyka, K. and Mikuska, P. 2004. Continuous fluorescence determination of formaldehyde in air. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 518: 51-57.
145. Pinheiro, H. L. C., De Andrade, M. V., Pereira, P. A. P. and De Andrade, J. B. 2004. Spectrofluorimetric determination of formaldehyde in air after collection onto silica cartridges coated with Fluoral P. *Microchem. J.* 78: 15-20.
146. Sakai, T., Tanaka, S., Teshima, N., Yasuda, S. and Ura, N. 2002. Fluorimetric flow injection analysis of trace amount of formaldehyde in environmental atmosphere with 5, 5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione. *Talanta* 58: 1271-1278.
147. Zhang, W., Wang, S. and Li, X. 2000. Determination of formaldehyde in food packaging material and container inner wall coating by oscillographic polarography. *Chinese J. Anal. Sci.* 16 149-152.
148. Gayathri, N. and Balasubramanian, N. 2000. Spectrometric determination of formaldehyde. *Anal. Letter* 33: 3037-3050.
149. Gigante, A. C., Gotardo, M. A., Tognolli, J. O., Pezza, L. and Pezza, H. R. 2004. Spectrometric determination of formaldehyde with chromotropic acid in phosphoric acid medium assisted by microwave oven. *Microchem. J.* 77: 47-51.
150. Teixeira, L. S. G., Leao, E. S., Dantas, A. F., Pinheiro,

- H. L. C., Costa, A. C. S. and Andrade, J. B. 2004. Determination of formaldehyde Brazilian alcohol fuels by flow-injection solid phase spectrophotometry, *Talanta* 64: 711-715.
151. Zhou, Z. D., Zhong, G. P., Tan, Q., Li, Y. and Hou, X. D. 2005. On-line spectrophotometric system based on pseudo liquid drop and handheld CCD spectrometer for monitoring formaldehyde level in wastewater. *Instr. Sci. Technol.* 33: 297-307.
152. Zhang, Z. Q., Yan, H. T. and Yue, X. F. 2004. Catalytic determination of trace formaldehyde with a flow injection system using the indicator reaction between crystal violet and bromate. *Mikrochim. Acta* 146: 259-263.
153. Yue, X. F., Zhang, Y. N. and Zhang, Z. Q. 2007. An air-driving FI device with merging zones technique for the determination of formaldehyde in beers. *Food Chem.* 102: 90-94.
154. Pérez, D. M., Alatorre, G. G., Botello, E. B., Silva, E. E. and Alvarado, J. F. J. 2008. Solid-phase microextraction of N-nitrosodimethylamine in beer. *Food Chem.* 107: 1348-1352.
155. Cortacero-Ramírez, S., Arráez-Román, D., Segura-Carretero, A. and Fernández-Gutiérrez, A. 2007. Determination of biogenic amines in beers and brewing-process samples by capillary electrophoresis coupled to laser-induced fluorescence detection. *Food Chem.* 100: 383-389.
156. Tang, T., Shi, T. Qian, K., Li, P., Li, J. and Cao, Y. 2009. Determination of biogenic amines in beer with pre-column derivatization by high performance liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. B* 877: 507-512.
157. Khatib, A., Wilson, E. G., Kim, H. K., Lefeber, A. W. M., Erkelens, C., Choi and Y. H., Verporte, R. 2006. Application of two-dimensional J-resolved nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy to differentiation of beer. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 559: 264-270.
158. Evans, D. E. and Sheehan, M. C. 2002. Don't be fobbed off, the substance of beer foam *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 60: 47-57.
159. Evans, D. E. and Hejgaard, J. 2002. The effect of germination and kilning on the level of protein Z4, protein Z7 and LTP1. *J. Inst. Brew.* 105: 156-169.
160. Dale, C. J. 1990. Beer polypeptides. *Fermentation* 3: 217-229.
161. Bamforth, C. W., Kapp, G. R. and Smythe, J. E. 2001. The measurement of hydrophobic polypeptides in beer using the fluorochrome 1-anilino-8-naphthalenesulfonate. *Food Chem.* 75: 377-383.
162. Jin, D. R., Miyahara, T., Oe, T. and Toyo' oka, T. 1999. Determination of D-amino acids labeled with fluorescent chiral reagents, R(-)- and S(+)-4-(3-isothiocyanatopyrrolidin-1-yl)-7-(N, N-dimethylaminosulfonyl)-2,1,3-benzoxadiazoles, in biological and food samples by liquid chromatography. *Anal. Biochem.* 269: 124-132.
163. Thorsten, E. and Brückner, H. 2000. Chromatographic determination of amino acid enantiomers in beers and raw materials used for their manufacture. *J. Chromatogr. A* 881: 81-91.
164. Freeston, M. J. and Baker, C. D. 1993. The determination of alcohol in beer using the SCABA automated method (collaborative trial). *J. Inst. Brew.* 99: 377-379.
165. Clarkson, S. P., Ormrod, I. H. L. and Sharpe, F. R. 1995. Determination of ethanol in beer by direct injection gas chromatography: a comparison of six identical systems. *J. Inst. Brew.* 101: 191-193.
166. Inon, F. A., Liario, R., Garrigues, S. and Guardia, M. 2005. Development of a PLS based method for determination of the quality of beers by use of NIR: Spectral ranges and sample-introduction considerations. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 382: 1549-1561.
167. Schropp, P., Bruder, T. and Forstner, A. 2002. Evaluation of the NIR method for measuring the alcohol content and other connected parameters in beer. *Monat. fur Brauw.* 55: 212-216.
168. Liario, R., Inon, F. A., Garrigues, S. and Guardia, M. 2006. Determination of quality parameters of beers by the use of attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. *Talanta* 69: 469-480.
169. Inon, F. A., Garrigues, S. and Guardia, M. 2006. Combination of mid- and near-infrared spectroscopy for the determination of the quality properties of beers. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 571: 167-174.
170. Akyilmaz, E. and Dinckaya, E. 2003. Development of a catalase based biosensor for alcohol determination in beer samples. *Talanta* 61: 113-118.
171. Mullor, S. G., Cabezudo, M. S., Ordieres, A. J. M. and Ruiz, B. L. 1996. Alcohol biosensor based on alcohol dehydrogenase and Meldola Blue immobilized into a carbon paste electrode. *Talanta* 43: 779-784.
172. Park, J. K., Yee, H. J., Lee, W. Y., Shin, M. C., Kim, T. H. and Kim, S. R. 1999. Determination of breath alcohol using a differential-type amperometric biosensor based on alcohol dehydrogenase. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 390: 83-91.
173. Guilbault, G. G., Danielsson, B., Mandenlus, C. F. and Mosbach, K. 1983. Enzyme electrode and thermistor probes for determination of alcohols with alcohol oxidase. *Anal. Chem.* 55: 1582-1585.
174. Morales, A., Lespedes, F., Fabregas, F. M. and Alegret, S. 1998. Thanol amperometric biosensor based on an alcohol oxidase-graphite-polymer biocomposite electrode. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 43: 3575-3579.
175. Johansson, K., Petterson, G. J., Gorton, L., Marko-Varga, G. and Csoregi, E. 1993. A reagentless amperometric biosensor for alcohol detection in column liquid chromatography based on co-immobilized peroxidase and alcohol oxidase in carbon paste. *J. Biotechnol.* 31: 301-316.
176. Vijayakumar, A. R., Csoregi, E., Heller, A. and Gorton, L. 1996. Alcohol biosensors based on coupled oxidase-peroxidase systems. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 327: 223-234.
177. Kitagawa, Y., Ameyama, M., Nakashima, K., Tamiya, E. and Karube, I. 1987. Amperometric alcohol sensor

- based on an immobilised bacteria cell membrane. *Analyst* 112: 1747-1749.
178. Reshelitov, A. N., Trotesenko, J. A., Morozova, N. O., Iliasov, P. V. and Ashin, V. V. 2001. Characteristics of *Gluconobacter oxydans* B-1280 and *Pichia methanolica* MN4 cell based biosensors for detection of ethanol. *Process Biochem.* 36: 1015-1020.
  179. Akyilmaz, E. and Dinckaya, E. 2000. A mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) tissue homogenate based alcohol oxidase electrode for alcohol determination in serum. *Talanta* 53: 505-509.
  180. Joo, H., Kim, Y. H., Byun, S. H. and Yoo, Y. J. 1996. Hwahak Konghak. *J. Kor. Inst. Chem. Eng.* 34: 77-82.
  181. Uchiyama, Y. and Sano, Y. 2000. Hydrogen peroxide sensor with bienzyme membrane based on antagonism of peroxidase reaction to tyrosinase reaction using common substrate. *Electroanalysis*. 12: 817-820.
  182. Calull, M., Lopez, E., Marce, R. M., Olucha, J. C. and Borrull, F. 1992. Determination of carboxylic acids, sugars, glycerol and ethanol in wine and grape must by ion-exchange high-performance liquid chromatography with refractive index detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* 590: 215-222.
  183. Ough, C. S. and Amerine, M. A. 1988. *Methods for analysis of musts and wines*. 2nd ed. Wiley-Interscience. NY, U.S.A.
  184. Zeng, H. H., Wang, K. M., Li, D. and Yu, R. Q. 1994. Development of an alcohol optode membrane based on fluorescence enhancement of fluorescein derivatives. *Talanta* 41: 969-975.
  185. Seiler, K., Wang, K., Kuratli, M. and Simon, W. 1991. Development of an ethanol-selective optode membrane based on a reversible chemical recognition process. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 244: 151-160.
  186. Spichiger, U. E., Kuratli, M. and Simon, W. 1992. An artificial enzyme? A comparison between enzymatic and chemical recognition for sensing ethanol. *Biosen. Bioel.* 7: 715-723.
  187. Mohr, G. J., Citterio, D., Demuth, C., Fehlmann, M., Jenny, L., Lohse, C., Moradian, A., Nezel, T., Rothmaier, M. and Spichiger, U. E. 1999. Reversible chemical reactions as the basis for optical sensors used to detect amines, alcohols and humidity. *J. Mater. Chem.* 9: 2259-2264.
  188. Mohr, G. J., Citterio, D. and Spichiger, U. E. 1998. Development of chromogenic reactands for optical sensing of alcohols. *Sens. Act. B* 49: 226-234.
  189. Buhlmann, P. 1998. Ion sensors. *Chem. Sens.* 14: 93-109.
  190. Ito, T., Radecka, H., Tohda, K., Odashima, K. and Umezawa, Y. 1998a. On the mechanism of unexpected potentiometric response to neutral phenols by liquid membranes based on quaternary ammonium salts-systematic experimental and theoretical approaches. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 120: 3049-3059.
  191. Ito, T., Radeckha, H., Umezawa, K., Kimura, T., Yashira, A., Lin, X. M., Kataoka, M., Kimura, E., Sessler, J. L., Odashima, K. and Umezawa, Y. 1998b. A variety of lipophilic amines incorporated in liquid membranes exhibit potentiometric responses to neutral phenols. *Anal. Sci.* 14: 89-98.
  192. Legin, A., Rudnitskaya, A., Seleznev, B. and Valsov, Y. 2005. Electronic tongue for quality assessment of ethanol, vodka and eau-de-vie. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 534: 129-135.
  193. Lvova, L., Kim, S. S., Legin, A. and Vlasov, Y. 2005. Chemical sensor array for multicomponent analysis of biological liquids. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 534: 129-135.
  194. Ciosek, P. and Wroblewski, W. 2006. The recognition of beer with flow-through sensor array based on miniaturized solid-state electrodes. *Talanta* 69: 1156-1161.
  195. Lvova, L., Paolesse, R., Di Natale, C. and D'Amico, A. 2006. Detection of alcohols in beverages: An application of porphyrin-based electronic tongue. *Sens. Act. B* 118: 439-447.
  196. Boujtita, M., Hart, J. P. and Pittson, R. 2000. Development of a disposable ethanol biosensor based on a chemically modified screen-printed electrode coated with alcohol oxidase for the analysis of beer. *Biosen. Bioel.* 15: 257-263.
  197. Carlsen, H. N., Degn, H. and Liory, D. 1991. Effects of alcohols on the respiration and fermentation of aerated suspensions of baker's yeast. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 137: 2879-2883.
  198. Fogliano, V., Verde, V., Randazzo, G. and Ritieni, A. 1999. Method for measuring antioxidant activity and its application to monitoring the antioxidant capacity of wines. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 47: 1035-1040.
  199. Matuciewicz, H. and Kopras, M. J. 1997. Methods for improving the sensitivity in atom trapping flame atomic absorption spectrometry: Analytical scheme for the direct determination of trace elements in beer. *Anal. At. Spect.* 12: 1287-1291.
  200. Re, R., Pellegrini, N., Proteggente, A., Pannala, A., Yang, M. and Rice-Evans, C. 1999. Antioxidant activity applying and improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay, free radical. *Biolog. Med.* 26: 1231-1237.
  201. Sobiech, R. M., Neumann, R. and Wabner, D. 1998. Automated voltammetric determination of reducing compounds. *Electroanalysis* 10: 969-975.
  202. Uchida, M. and Ono, M. 1996. Improvement for oxidative flavor stability of beer-role of OH-radical in beer oxidation. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 54: 198-204.
  203. Uchida, M., Suga, S. and Ono, M. 1996. Improvement for oxidative flavor stability of beer-rapid prediction method beer flavor stability by electron spin resonance spectroscopy. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 54: 205-211.
  204. Su, A. K., Chang, Y. Sh. and Lin, Ch. H. 2004. Analysis of riboflavin in beer by capillary electrophoresis/blue light emitting diode (LED)-induced fluorescence detection combined with a dynamic pH junction technique. *Talanta* 64: 970-974.
  205. Britz-McKibbin, P., Otsuka, K. and Terebe, S. 2002. On-line focusing of flavin derivatives using dynamic

- pH junction-sweeping capillary electrophoresis with laser-induced fluorescence detection. *Anal. Chem.* 74: 3736-3743.
206. Wei, W., Xue, G. and Yeung, E. S. 2002. One-step concentration of analytes based on dynamic change in pH in capillary zone electrophoresis. *Anal. Chem.* 74: 934-940.
  207. Andres-Lacueva, C., Mattivi, F. and Tono, D. 1998. Determination of riboflavin, flavin mononucleotide and flavin-adenine dinucleotide in wine and other beverages by high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* 823: 355-363.
  208. Sikorska, E., Gorecki, T., Khmelinskii, I. V., Sikorski, M. and Keukeleire, D. 2006. Monitoring beer during storage by fluorescence spectroscopy. *Food Chem.* 96: 632-639.
  209. Yasui, T., Okamoto, T. and Taguchi, H. 1997a. A specific oligonucleotides primer for rapid detection of *Lactobacillus lindneri* by polymerase chain reaction. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 43: 157-163.
  210. March, C., Manclus, J. J., Abad, A., Navarro, A. and Montoya, A. 2005. Rapid detection and counting of viable beer-spoilage lactic acid bacteria using a monoclonal chemiluminescence enzyme immunoassay and a CCD camera. *J. Imm. Met.* 303: 92-104.
  211. Che, Y. H., Yabin, L., Slavik, M. and Paul, D. 1999. Rapid detection of *Salmonella typhimurium* using an immunoelectrochemical method coupled with immunomagnetic separation. *J. Rapid Meth. Autom Microbiol.* 7: 47-59.
  212. Eckner, K. L., Dustman, W. E., Curiale, M. S. and Flowers, R. S. 1994. Elevated-temperature, colorimetric, monoclonal enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for rapid screening of *Salmonella* in foods: collaborative study. *J. AOAC Int.* 77: 374-394.
  213. Nakakita, Y., Takahashi, T., Tsuchiya, Y., Watari, J. and Shinotsuka, K. 2002. A strategy for detection of all beer-spoilage bacteria. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 60: 63-67.
  214. Tortorello, M. L. and Stewart, D. S. 1994a. Antibody-direct epifluorescent filter technique for rapid direct enumeration of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in beef. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 60: 3553-3559.
  215. Trevanich, S., Miyamoto, T., Harada, Y., Honjoh, K-I. and Hatano, S. 2000. Rapid detection of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O6 in water by using monoclonal antibody and photon-counting television camera. *J. Food Prot.* 63: 534-538.
  216. Bischoff, E., Bohak, I., Back, W. and Leibhard, S. 2001. Rapid detection method of beer-spoilage bacteria by PCR and universal primers, *Monatsschr. Brauwiss.* 54: 4-8.
  217. Chen, S., Yee, A., Griffiths, M., Wu, K. Y., Wang, C. N., Rahn, K. and De Grandis, S. A. 1997. A rapid sensitive and automated method for detection of *Salmonella* species in food using AG-9600 amplisensor analyzer. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 83: 314-321.
  218. Juvonen, R., Satokari, R., Mallison, K. and Haikara, A. 1999. Detection of spoilage bacteria in beer by polymerase chain reaction. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 57: 99-103.
  219. Girotti, S., Muratori, M., Fini, F., Ferri, E. N., Carrea, G., Koran, M. and Rauch, P. 2000. Luminescent enzymatic flow sensor for D- and L-lactate assay in beer. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 210: 216-219.
  220. Stender, H., Broomer, A., Oliveira, K., Perry-O' Keefe, H., Hyldig-Nielsen, J., Sage, A., Young, B. and Coull, J. 2000. Rapid detection, identification, and enumeration of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in bottled water using peptide nucleic acid probes. *J. Microbiol. Meth.* 42: 245-253.
  221. Takahashi, T., Nakakita, Y., Watari, J. and Shinotsuka, K. 2000. A new rapid technique for detection of microorganisms using bioluminescence and fluorescence microscope method. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* 89: 509-513.
  222. Yasuhara, T., Yuuki, T. and Kagami, N. 2001. Novel quantitative method for detection of *Pectinatus* using rRNA targeted fluorescent probes. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 59: 117-121.
  223. He, F., Geng, Q., Zhu, W., Nie, L., Yao, S. and Meifeng, C. 1994. Rapid detection of *Escherichia coli* using a separated electrode piezoelectric crystal sensor. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 299: 313-319.
  224. Whiting, M. S., Gares, S. L., Ingledew, W. M. and Ziola, B. 1999a. Brewing spoilage lactobacilli detected using monoclonal antibodies to bacterial surface antigens. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 45: 51-58.
  225. Whiting, M. S., Ingledew, W. M., Lee, S. Y. and Ziola, B. 1999b. Bacterial surface antigen-specific monoclonal antibodies used to detect beer-spoilage pediococci. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 45: 670-677.
  226. Ziola, B., Ulmer, M., Bueckert, J. and Giesbrecht, D. 2000. Monoclonal antibodies showing surface reactivity with *Lactobacillus* and *Pediococcus* beer spoilage bacteria. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 58: 63-68.
  227. Tsuchiya, Y., Nakakita, Y., Watari, J. and Shinotsuka, K. 2000. Monoclonal antibodies specific for the beer spoilage ability of lactic acid bacteria. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 58: 89-93.
  228. Whiting, M. S., Crichtlow, M., Ingledew, W. M. and Ziola, B. 1992. Detection of *Pediococcus* spp. In brewing yeast by a rapid immunoassay. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 58: 713-716.
  229. Juvonen, R., Koivula, T. and Haikara, A. 2008. Group-specific PCR-RFLP and real-time PCR methods for detection and tentative discrimination of strictly anaerobic beer-spoilage bacteria of the class Clostridia. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 125: 162-169.
  230. Masuko, M., Hosoi, S. and Hayakawa, T. 1991. Rapid detection and counting of single bacteria in wide field using a photon-counting TV camera. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 83: 231-238.
  231. Yasui, T. and Yoda, K. 1997b. Image of *Lactobacillus*

- brevis* single cells and microcolonies without a microscope by an ultrasensitive chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay with a photon-counting television camera. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63: 4529-4535.
232. Haakensen, M., Schubert, A. and Ziola, B. 2009. Broth and agar hop-gradient plates used to evaluate the beer-spoilage potential of *Lactobacillus* and *Pediococcus* isolates Int. J. Food Microbiol. 130: 56-60.
233. Asano, S., Iijima, K., Suzuki, K., Motoyama, Y., Ogata, T. and Kitagawa, Y. 2009. Rapid detection and identification of beer-spoilage lactic acid bacteria by micro-colony method. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 108: 124-129.